#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012266 Issue No.: 3002; 1015 Case No.: October 26, 2011 Hearing Date: Wayne County DHS (43) County:

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 26, 2011. from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant . Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included . FIM.

#### ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's application Close Claimant's case R reduce Claimant's benefits for:

	Family Independence Prog
imes	Food Assistance Program
	Medical Assistance (MA)?

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

Whether the Department correctly reduced the Claimant's FAP benefits even though she requested that her benefits be continued when she filed her Request for hearing on 9/20/11?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant	applied for benefits for:	received benefits for:
----	----------	---------------------------	------------------------

Family Independence Program (FIP). Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).



Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	On 10/1/11, the Department	denied Claimant's application
	closed Claimant's case	reduced Claimant's benefits
	due to excess income.	

- 3. On 9/14/11, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.  $\boxtimes$  reduction.
- 4. On 9/20/11, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the  $\Box$  denial of the application.  $\Box$  closure of the case.  $\Box$  reduction of benefits.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The	e Adult	Medical	Program	(AMP)	is	established	by	42	USC	1315,	and	is
adminis	tered by	y the Dep	artment pu	ursuant t	to N	1CL 400.10, e	et se	eq.				

 $\boxtimes$  The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

 $\boxtimes$  The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, The Department correctly calculated the Claimant's FIP budget for cash assistance and applied the correct deductions for earned income. The FIP budget and the income used to compute the budget were reviewed at the hearing. After a thorough review it is determined that the FIP budget is correct and the FIP benefits of \$87 per month, before further reduction due to recoupment, is correct.

After a thorough review of the FAP budget it is determined that the earned income of \$1010 and FIP grant amount were correctly included as gross income, however, there was no factual basis to support the correct monthly shelter expense, and thus the FAP budget must be corrected. The \$200 used as the shelter expense is an old number and cannot be used. Exhibit 7. Based on the evidence provided, the FAP budget is not correct and the FAP benefit amount must be recomputed.

At the hearing the Claimant noted that the Department failed to continue the FAP benefits at the former amount before the benefits were reduced based upon her timely request in the request for hearing that benefits continue without reduction. The Department was required to continue the Claimant's FAP benefits without reduction, as the Claimant filed her request for hearing on 9/20/11 and requested that her FAP benefits continue at the former level, and the Department received the request on 9/20/11. The hearing request provided that FAP benefits would continue if the hearing request was received on or before 9/23/11. The Department is required to continue the Claimant's FAP benefits at the level before reduction through the date of the hearing decision.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department

	denied Claimant's application
X	reduced Claimant's benefits
	closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\boxtimes$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

denied Claimant's application

☐ reduced Claimant's benefits
☐ closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

# <u>FIP</u>

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\boxtimes$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

# <u>FAP</u>

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly idd not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\boxtimes$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department is required to initiate issuance of a supplement to the Claimant for the difference between the FAP benefits received by the Claimant after the reduction of her FAP benefits on October 1, 2010 and the former FAP benefit amount prior to the reduction on October 1, 2011, due to her timely request in her request for hearing that her FAP benefits continue unaffected.
- 2. The Department shall initiate recomputation of the Claimant's FAP budget and use the correct shelter expense based upon verification of 2011 property tax expense (to be provided by the Claimaint).
- 3. The Department, after it recalculates the FAP budget as ordered herein, shall issue a FAP supplement, if any is due to the Claimant in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 27, 2011

Date Mailed: October 27, 2011

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

