STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

11	LTI		BA A	•	ΓFR	\sim	г.
ır	4 I I	7E	IVI	۱ı	ırk	u	-



Reg. No.: 2012-26233

Issue No.: <u>2006</u>

Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

May 10, 2012 Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 10, 2012, from Detroit, Mich igan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Departm ent properly $\ \square$ deny Claiman t's application $\ \boxtimes$ close Claimant's case for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC					
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:						
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received benefits for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC). 					

	On December 1, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to failure to provide verifications.					
3.	On December 15, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
	partment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
Res 42 Age	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.					
pro imp Reg Age	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is oblemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.					
Sec The Age	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105.					
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .					
for Sei pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through le 400.3180.					
and 199	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Parts 98					

and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, on October 17, 201 1, the Department sent the claimant a redetermination due November 1, 2011.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

| properly denied Claimant's application | improperly denied Claimant's application | properly closed Claimant's case | improperly closed Claimant's case

for: | AMP | FIP | FAP | MA | SDA | CDC.

| DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department | did act properly. | did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's | AMP | FIP | FAP | MA | SDA | CDC decision is | AFFIRMED | REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 17, 2012

Date Mailed: May 17, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

