STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		Reg. No: Issue No:	201226160 2021
		Case No: Hearing Date: Macomb County	May 22, 2012
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully			
and MCL 4 telephone h Participants representative	r the Clai <u>mant. Particip</u> ants on behalf	e Law Judge purs or a hearing. A 22, 2012 from L , his au , and	fter due notice, a ansing, Michigan. thorized hearings, acting as
	ISSUE ss assets, did the Department properly imant's case for:	/ ⊠ deny the Cla	imant's application
			sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS OF FACT The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:			
1.	Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ Independence Program (FIP), ☐ ☑ Medical Assistance (MA), ☐ State	Adult Medical A	Assistance (AMP),
2.	Due to excess assets, on September Claimant's application. Closed Claimant		eartment 🔀 denied
3.	On May 31, 2011, the Department Authorized Representative (AR) notice		
4.	On December 12, 2011, Claimant file denial of the application.	ed a hearing requ	est, protesting the

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
Additionally, the Department denied the Claimant's application for retroactive Medical Assistance for May of 2011, due to excess assets. The Department determined that the Claimant had countable assets for May of 2011, in the amount of which was in excess of the asset limit of the Claimant's account has a cash asset, and therefore countable. The Department classified a transfer as a liquid asset within an account controlled by the Claimant.
The Claimant's representative argued that the and and transfers should not be considered countable assets since they are withdrawals used to pay debts excluded by BEM 400 from countable assets.
Bridges Administrative Manual Item 130 requires that before the Department determines eligibility to receive benefits it will give its clients a reasonable opportunity to resolve any discrepancy between their statements and information from another source.

In this case, the Claimant submitted verification documents to the Department as part of his application for assistance that show assets that might be excludable from countable assets. The Department's representative testified that these assets are questionable and require further verification to determine whether they are in fact excludable from countable assets.

	e Department did not seek additional verification to resolve the discrepancy Claimant's statements and the Department's suspicions.
stated on the assets, the Dick Claimant's a	the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons be record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess Department properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case mproperly closed ase for: AMP FIP MA SDA.
	DECISION AND ORDER trative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department operly. ☐ did not act properly.
	the Department's ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ MA ☐ SDA decision is ED ☒ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
	PARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
1.	Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for Medical Assistance (MA) for May of 2011.
2.	Allow the Claimant a ten-day period to provide clarification concerning his countable and excludable for May of 2011.
3.	Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
4.	Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.
	/s/ Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director
Date Signed	Department of Human Services: May 24, 2012
Date Mailed:	May 24, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of

the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

cc: