STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MAT	TER OF:	Reg. No: Issue No:	201226082 3014
		Case No: Hearing Date: Kalamazoo Cou	-
ADMINISTR	ATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully		
	HEARING DECIS	SION	
and MCL 40 telephone he Participants	s before the undersigned Administration.37 following Claimant's request earing was held on Thursday, Marcon behalf of Claimant included on behalf of Department of Hum	for a hearing. A ch 8, 2012, from l a	fter due notice, a Lansing, Michigan. nd
	ISSUE		
	ss income, did the Department prope imant's case ⊠ reduce Claimant's be		imant's application
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		dult Medical Assistance (AMP)? tate Disability Assistance (SDA)? thild Development and Care (CDC)?	
	FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>	
	strative Law Judge, based on the o the whole record, finds as material fac		al, and substantial
1.	Claimant applied for benefits for: Independence Program (FIP). Solution Food Assistance Program (FA (SDA). Medical Assistance (MA (CDC).	Adult Medical AP). Discription State Discription	Assistance (AMP). sability Assistance
2.	On December 7, 2011, the Departm ☐ closed Claimant's case ☒ reduce income.		

3.	On December 7, 2011, the Department sent \boxtimes Claimant \square Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the \square denial. \square closure. \boxtimes reduction.
4.	On January 11, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \square closure of the case. \boxtimes reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the bility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	ult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Responsibilit 42 USC 601 Agency) adn through Rule	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ty and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 et 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 et 400.3015.
Security Act The Departn	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for disabled as the Fami	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known ly Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
and XX of t 1990, and th The program and 99. Th	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE he Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of e Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. In is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 are Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Claimant did not dispute the amount of income received by members of his household, but instead argued that he purchases and prepares food seperately from anyone else in his household, and that as a group of one, only his income should be considered when determining his eligibility for the Food Assistance Program (FAP).

The Department established that based on the best information available at the time it made it eligibility determination, that the Claimant purchases and prepares food with another person living in his household. Department policy requires all of the income of each group member be considered when determining eligibility to receive benefits. The Department established that it properly determined the Claimant's eligibility for the Food Assistance Program (FAP), and based on the group's total income, that his monthly allotment should be reduced.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department \boxtimes properly \square improperly \square denied Claimant's application \boxtimes reduced Claimant's benefits \square closed Claimant's case for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/s/
Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services Date Signed: March 9, 2012
Date Mailed: March 9, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

CC:

