STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201226023 1021, 2006, 3008 May 8, 2012 Macomb County DHS	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a elephone hearing was held on May 8, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included .				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's penefits for:				
X		☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
۱.	Claimant ⊠ applied for ⊠ was receiving: ⊠FIP ⊠FAP ⊠MA □SDA □CDC.			
	On October 6, 2011, the Claimant \boxtimes was \square was not provided with a verification checklist (DHS-3503).			
3.	Claimant was required to submit requested verification by October 17, 2011.			
	On November 1, 2011, the Department denied the Claimant's FIP application for failing to submit requested verifications. On December 1, 2011, the Department closed the Claimant's FAP and MA cases for failing to turn in requested verifications.			
	On November 14, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial. ⊠ closure. ☐ reduction.			

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The FIP was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The MA program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Based on the testimony provided, I find the Claimant did timely submit the requested verifications and that more likely than not, the Department lost the verifications upon their submission.

Therefore, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I conclude the Department improperly denied the Claimant's FIP application and improperly closed the Claimant's FAP and MA cases.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, find the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED** for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligibility for FIP benefits beginning October 4, 2011 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.

201226023/CAA

2. Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligibility for FAP and MA benefits beginning December 1, 2011 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.

/s/

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 9, 2012
Date Mailed: May 9, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/cr

CC:

