## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

(15)

	TUI	Ξ ΜΑ	$\mathbf{D}$	
113		- 1/1/2		_

		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date:	20122555 3021 November 3, 2011			
		County:	Wayne County DHS			
Αſ	OMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardo	ocki				
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 3, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Representation, Specialist.						
ISSUE						
Due to excess assets, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.						
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:						
	Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received FA	P benefits.				
	Due to excess assets, on 9/20/11, the Departme ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed					
2.	On 9/20/11, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Repr notice of the denial. Closure.	resentative (AR)				
3.	On 9/28/11, Claimant filed a hearing request, pr ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the c					

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). ☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and MCL 400.105.

☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

Effective 10/1/2011, DHS imposed a \$5,000 asset limit for FAP households. BEM 400 at 4. Excess assets now results in FAP benefit group ineligibility.

On 9/1/11, Claimant submitted a signed statement that he had \$20,000 in a checking account. Claimant initially contended that he submitted the signed statement in 2007. During the hearing, it was found that the document was signed and dated by Claimant on 9/1/11. Claimant initially contended that he no longer had \$20,000, but then he amended his argument and claimed that the money was set aside for his burial expenses. Claimant did not allege that he had an obligation to spend the money for his burial, only that he intended to use the money for that purpose.

Though irrevocable funeral contracts are not countable assets (see BEM 400 at 35), Claimant's assets were countable. It is found that Claimant's assets exceeded the FAP

benefit asset limit. Accordingly, DHS properly terminated Claimant's FAP benefits effective 10/2011. Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\boxtimes$  FAP **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP MA SDA KAP decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. Christin Dardock Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: November 4, 2011 Date Mailed: November 4, 2011 **NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

## 2011-22555/CG

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CG/hw

