# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-25171

Issue No.: 3003

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 29, 2012 County: Wayne (41)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Detroit, Michigan on Thursday, March 29, 2012. The Claimant appeared, along with and testified. Participat ing on behalf of Department of Human Services ("Department") was

### **ISSUE**

Whether the Department proper ly reduc ed the Claimant's f ood ass istance ("FAP") benefits effective January 2012?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant is a FAP recipient.
- The Claimant's group size is 2.
- 3. The Claimant receives \$1,337.90 in gr oss Retirement, Survivors, and Dis ability, Insurance ("RSDI") income. (Exhibit 1)
- 4. The Claimant pays the \$99.90 Medicare Part B premium. (Exhibit 1)
- 5. The Claimant receives a \$255.00/month pension.

- 6. The Claimant's spouse receives \$660.90 in gross RSDI income. (Exhibit 2)
- 7. The Claimant's spouse pays \$451.00 in Medicare Part A premium and \$99.90 for Part B. (Exhibit 2)
- 8. The Claimant's monthly shelter obligation is \$526.21 and he is responsible for utilities.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are contai ned in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Reference Tables Manual ("RFT").
☐ The F amily Independence Program ("FIP") was establis hed pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public La w 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department, formerly known as the Family Independence Agenc y, administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children program effective October 1, 1996.
☑ The Food Assistance Program ("FAP") [formerly known as the Food Stamp program is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department, formerly known as the Fami ly Independence Agency, administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department of Human Services, form erly known as the Family Independenc e Agency, administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program ("AMP") is established by 42 USC 131 5, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disability Assistance ("SDA") program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, $et\ seq$ ., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3 151 through R 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care ("CDC") program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

The Department determines a client's el igibility for program benefits based on the client's actual income and/or prospective income. BEM 500. A ll countable earned a nd unearned income av ailable to the client must be considered in determining the Claimant's eligibility for program benefits. BEM 500. RSDI inc ome, a federal benefit administered by the Social Sec urity Administration, is available to retired and disable d individuals, their dependents, and survivors of deceased workers. BEM 503. The gross benefit amount is counted as unearned income. BEM 503.

Group composition is the determination of which persons living together are included in the FAP program group. BEM 212. Spous es who are legally married and live together must be in the same group. BEM 212. Shelter expense is an allowable expense and includes rent payments. BEM 554. In determining a FAP allotment, a Heat and Utility Standard is used whenever a FAP group contributes to the heat expense separate from rent, mortgage, or condominium/maintenance payments. BEM 554.

In this case, the Department notified the Claimant that his FAP benefits would reduce effective January 2012 from \$82.00 to \$54.00 as the result of a cost-of-living increase in his, and his spouse's, RSDI income. The Claimant agreed with the income figures used in determining his eligibility. That being stated, while go ing through the budget, it was discovered that the incorrect medical deduction was used. Specifically, the Department used a medical deduction of \$546.00 which represented the medical premiums paid by the Claimant's spouse. The Claimant als o paid \$99.90 for Part B premium which was not included in the medical deduction. Additionally, a Ithough the Claimant notified the Department of a reduction in his monthly shelter obligation, the reduction was not considered. Instead, the Department determined FAP eligibility based on a \$782.43 shelter obligation as opposed to the actual \$526.21 monthly mortgage payment. In light of the foregoing, it is found that the Department incorrect tly calculated the Claimant's FAP benefits effective January 1, 2012 based on the incorrect medical deduction and shelter obligation. Accordingly, the Department's determination is not upheld.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did not ac properly when it determined the Claimant's FAP allotment effective January 1, 2012.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- The Department shall recalculate the Claimant's FAP allotment effective
  January 1, 2012 by including t he correct medical deduction and shelter
  obligation in accordance with department policy.
- 2. The Department shall not ify the Claimant of the det ermination in accordance with department policy.
- 3. The Department shall supplement for lo st benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receiv e if otherwise elig ible and qualified in acc ordance with department policy.

College M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 2, 2012

Date Mailed: April 2, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of he filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CMM/cl

