STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

Case

Docket No. 2012-25133 HHS No.

Appellant

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 et seq., upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on		,
represented the Appellant.	the Appellant, appear	ed and testified.
		appeared as
witnesses for the Denartment		

witnesses for the Department.

ISSUE

Did the Department pr operly reduce the Appellant's Home Help Servic es ("HHS") authorization?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Appellant is a Medicaid beneficiary who has been authorized for HHS.
- 2. The Appellant has been diagnosed with stroke, left hemiparesis, and hypertension. (Exhibit 1, pages 7 and 14, Exhibit 2, page 1)
- 3. The Appellant had been receiving HHS for assistance with bathing, grooming, dressing, mobility, hous ework, shopping, laundry, and mea I preparation. (Exhibit 1, pages 9 and 11, Exhibit 2, page 3, ASW Testimony)

Docket No. 2012-25133 HHS Decision and Order

- 4. The Appellant's has been enrolled as his HHS prov ider since (Exhibit 1, page 12)
- 5. On Appellant's HHS provider, who reported that he does not bathe the Appellant. (Exhibit 1, page 9)
- 6. On Advance Negative Ac tion Notice which informed him that that effective his HHS case would be reduced to \$298.13 per month because bathing was no longer author ized based on the information from the provider. (Exhibit 2, page 2)
- 7. On Advance Negative Ac tion Notice which informed him that that effective Advance Negative Ac tion Notice which informed him that that effective his for a case would be reduced to \$189.20 per month because the provider called and stat ed he only assists with household chores and does not bathe, groom or dress. (Exhibit 1, page 5)
- 8. On the Appellant's Request for Hearing was received by the (Exhibit 1, pages 4-6)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Ass istance Program is established purs uant to Title XIX of t he Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with stat e statute, the Soci al Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the St ate Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive car e in the least restrictive, preferred setti ngs. These activities must be certified by a physic ian and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual (ASM 120, 11-1- 2011), pages 1-5 of 6 addresses the adult services comprehensive assessment:

INTRODUCTION

The DHS-324, Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment must be completed on **all open independent living services cases** . ASCAP, the automated workload managem ent system, provides the format for the comprehensive asses sment and all information will be entered on the computer program.

Requirements

Requirements for the compr ehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

- A comprehensive ass essment will be completed on all new cases.
- A face-to-face contact is required with t he client in his/her place of residence.
- The assessment may also include an interview with the individual who will be providing home help services.
- A new face-to-face assessment is required if there is a request for an increase in services before payment is authorized.
- A face-to-face assessment is required on all transfer-in cases before a payment is authorized.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minim ally at the six-month r eview and annual redetermination.
- A release of information must be obtained when requesting document ation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.
 - Use the DHS-26, Aut horization to Releas e Information, when reques ting client information from another agency.
 - Use the DHS-1555, Authorization to Releas e Protected Health Info rmation, if requesting additional medical documentation; see RFF 1555. The form is primarily used for APS cases.
- Follow rules of confident iality when home help cas es have companion APS c ases, see SRM 131 Confidentiality.

Functional Assessment

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the HHS payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the c lient's ability to perform the following activities:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating.
- Toileting.
- Bathing.
- Grooming.
- Dressing.
- Transferring.
- Mobility.

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking Medication.
- Meal preparation and cleanup.
- Shopping.
- Laundry.
- Light Housework.

Functional Scale

ADLs and IADLs ar e assessed according to the following five-point scale:

1. Independent

Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.

2. Verbal Assistance

Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.

Docket No. 2012-25133 HHS Decision and Order

3. Some Human Assistance

Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.

4. Much Human Assistance

Performs the activity wit h a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.

5. Dependent

Does not perform the ac tivity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

HHS payments may only be authorized for needs ass essed at the 3 level or greater.

An individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

Note: If the assessm ent determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these se rvices are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services.

Example: Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to rece ive assistance. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive as sistance with IADLs if the assessment determined a need at a level 3 or greater.

See ASM 121, Functional A ssessment Definitions and Ranks for a description of the rank ings for activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living.

Time and Task

The specialist will allocate time for each task assessed a rank of 3 or higher, based on in terviews with the client and provider, observation of the clie nt's abilities and use of the reasonable time schedule (RT S) as a **guide**. The RT S can be found in ASCAP under the Payment module, Time and Task screen. When hours exc eed the RT S rationale **must** be provided.

An assessment of need, at a ranking of 3 or higher, does not automatically guarantee the maximum allotted time allowed by the reasonable time schedule (RTS). The specialist must assess each task according to the actual time required for its completion.

Example: A client needs assis tance with cutting up food. The specialist would only pay for the time required to cur the food and not the full amount of time allotted under the RT S for eating.

IADL Maximum Allowable Hours

There are monthly maximum hour limits on all IADLs except medication. The limits are as follows:

- Five hours/month for shopping
- Six hours/month for light housework
- Seven hours/month for laundry
- 25 hours/month for meal preparation

Proration of IADLs

If the client does not require the maximum allowable hours for IADLs, authorize only the amount of time needed for each task. Assessed hour for IADLs (exc ept medications) must be prorated by **one half** in shared living arrangements where other adults reside in the home, as home help services are **only** for the benefit of the client.

Note: This does not include situations where others live in adjoined apartments/flats or in a separate home on s hared property and there is no shared, common living area.

In shared living arrangements , where it can be **clearly** documented that IADLs for the e ligible client are completed separately from others in th e home, hours for IADLs do not need to be prorated.

Example: Client has special dietary needs and meals are prepared s eparately; client is incontinent of bowel and/or bladder and laundry is completed separately ted separately; client 's shopping is completed separately due to special dietary needs and food is purchased from specialty stores; etc.

Responsible Relatives

Activities of daily living may be a pproved when the responsible relative is **unavailable** or **unable** to provide these services.

Note: Unavailable means a bsence from t he ho me for an extended period due to employment, school or other legitimate reasons. The res ponsible relativ e must pr ovide a work or school schedule to verify they are unavailable to provide care. **Unable** means the re sponsible person has disabilities of their own which prevent them from providing care. These disabilities must be d ocumented/verified by a medical professional on the DHS-54A, Medical Needs form.

Do **not** approve sh opping, la undry, or light hous ecleaning, when a responsible relative of the client r esides in the home, **unless** they are u navailable or un able t o pr ovide thes e services. Document findings in the general narrative in ASCAP.

Example: Mrs. Smith is in nee d of home h elp services. Her spouse is employed and is out of the home Monday thru Friday from 7a.m. to 7p.m. The specialist would not approve hours for shopping, laundry or hous e clean ing as Mr. Smith is responsible for these tasks.

Example: Mrs. Jon es is in ne ed of home h elp s ervices. Her spouse's e mployment takes him out of to wn Monday thru Saturday. The s pecialist may a pprove hours for s hopping, laundry or house cleaning.

Legal Dependent

Do **not** approve shopping, laundry, or light housecleaning, when a legal dependent of the cl ient (minors 15-17) resides in the home, **unless** they are unavaila ble or unable t o provide these services.

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 120, 11-1-2011, Pages 1-5 of 6

Adult Serv ices Manual (ASM 150, 11- 1-2011), pages 1-4 addresse s notification of eligibility determinations:

INTRODUCTION

Individuals who submit an app lication (DHS-390) for home help services or adult community placement must be given

Docket No. 2012-25133 HHS Decision and Order

written notification of approval or denial for services. A written notice must be sent within the 45 day standard of promptness.

Clients with active service cases must be provided written notice of any change in their services (increase, reduction, suspension or termination).

Written Notification of Disposition

All notifications are documented under ASCAP contacts when they are gener ated. This documentation acts as the file copy for the case record . For this purpose, the form letters used are:

- DHS-1210, Services Approval Notice.
- DHS-1212A, Adequate Negative Action Notice.
- DHS-1212, Advance Negative Action Notice.

Each notif ication letter includes an explanation of the procedures for requesting an administrative hearing.

The adult services specialist **must sign** the bottom of the second page of all notices (DHS-1210, DHS-1212A, DHS-1212) before they are mailed to the client.

Advance Negative Action Notice (DHS-1212)

The DHS-1212, Adv ance Negative Action Notice, is used and gener ated on ASCAP when t here is a reduction, suspension or termination of se rvices. Appropriate notations must be entered in the comment section to explain the reason for the negative action.

- Reduced decrease in payment.
- Suspended payments stopped but case remains open.
- Terminated case closure.

Docket No. 2012-25133 HHS Decision and Order

Negative Actions Requiring Ten Day Notice

The effective date of the negat ive action is ten business days **after** the date the notice is mailed to the client. The effective date must be entered on the negative action notice.

If the client does not request an administrative hearing before the effective date, the adult services specialist must proceed with the proposed action.

If the client requests an admin istrative hearing befor e the effective date of the negative ac tion, and the specialist is made aware of the hearing r equest, continue payments until a hearing decision has been made. If the specialist is made aware of t he hearing request **after** payments have ended, payments must be reinstated p ending the outcome of the hearing. Offer the client the opt ion of discontinuing payment pending the hearing decision.

Note: When payments are continued pending the outcome of a hearing, the client must repay any overpayments if the department's negative action is upheld. Initiate recoupment procedures by sending the client a Recoupment Letter.

Negative Actions Not Requiring Ten Day Notice

The following situations **do not** require the ten business day notice on negative actions:

• The department has factual conf irmation of the death of the client (negative acti on notice must be mailed t o the guardian or individual acting on the client's behalf) or death of the service provider.

Note: Cases should remain open until all appr opriate payments have been issued.

• The department receives a verbal or written statement from the client, stating they no longer want or require services, or that they want services reduced.

Note: This information must be clearly do cumented in the general narrative of ASCAP. Written notices must be maintained in the paper case file and doc umented in the general narrative.

• The department receives a verbal or written statement from the client that cont ains information requiring a negative action. The statement must acknowledge the client is aware the negat ive action is required **and** they understand the action will occur.

Example: A home help services client informs the specia list that they are engaged and will be married on a specific date. They als o acknowledge that their new spouse will be responsible for meeting their personal care needs and they will no longer qualify for home help services.

Note: This information must be clearly documented in the general narrative of ASCAP. Written notices must be file and documented in the general narrative.

• The client has been admitted to an institution or setting (for exam ple, hospital, nursing home) where the client no longer qualif ies for federal financial participation under the M edicaid State Plan for personal care services in the community.

Note: When a client is admitted to a hospital or nursing home, the facility is reimburse d for the client's care on the day the client is admitt ed, but not for the day of discharge. The home help provider cannot be reimbursed for the date the client is admit tted to the facility but m ay be paid for the day of discharge.

• The client cannot be loca ted and the department mail directed to the client's last known addres s has been returned by the post office indicating the forwarding address is unknown.

Note: In this circumstance, a services pay ment must be made available if the client is located during the payment period covered by the returned warrant.

- The client has been accepted for services in a new jurisdiction and that fact has been established by the jurisdiction previously providing services.
- The time frame for a services payment, granted for a specific time period, has elapsed. The client was informed, in writing, at the ti me payments were

Docket No. 2012-25133 HHS Decision and Order

initiated, that services would automatically termi nate at the end of the specified period.

Example: The DHS- 1210 clear ly states a begin and end date for the services payments.

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 150, 11-1-2011, Pages 1-4

In the present case, the ASW reduced the Appellant's services twice, based on telephone calls from his HHS provider reporting services he does not provide notice eliminating the HHS hours for bathing for the Appellant. The phone note documenting a c all from the HHS provider/ appears to be based on a reporting he does not bathe the Appellant. (Exhibit 1, page 9 and Exhibit 2, page 2) T he ASW testified t he notice eliminating HHS hours for bathing, dressing, and groomin g was also based on a telephone call from the provider reporting he only assists wit h household chores. (Exhibit 1, page 5 and ASW Testimony) However, the phone note does not indic ate grooming, dressing or mobility were discus sed and there was no addition all phone call from the Appellant's HHS provider/ documented in the c ontact history or general (Exhibit 1, pages 8-9) narrative until

The ASW reduced the Appellant's functional rankings for bathing, grooming, dressing and mobility to a leve I 2. (Exh ibit 1, page 13) The ASW explained that the Appe llant has some limited movements from the st roke, but is still in dependent with these activities. (ASW Testimony) The rankings assigned by the ASW appear to be in error. Under the policy, rankings at functional level 2 indic ate a need for verbal assistanc e. The ASW's testimony did not indicate s he determined the Appel lant ne eded v erbal assistance.

The ASW testified she made the reductions based on information from the HHS provider during telephone calls, without speaking with the Appellant. However, the ASW acknowledged that the Appellant has reported needing assistance with ADLs during assessments. (ASW Testim ony) A DHS-54A Medical Needs form was not obtained from the Appellant's physician until **Constant and Constant and Constant** (Exhibit 1, page 7)

The Appellant disagrees with the reductions . The Appellant's HHS provider. testified that a prior provider called stating she was still wo rking for the App ellant when HHS provider/ st ated he worked for all of she was not. The Appellant's but did not get paid. The Appellant's HHS provi der testified that he did not call the ASW and report he does not bathe, groom, or dre ss the Appe llant. Testimony) The Appellant te stified that the ASW took the prior HHS provider's word and did not try to find out what was needed. The Appellant asserted that when the he tried to tell the ASW he needed assi stance with these ADLs, s he ignored him. The Appellant explained that sometimes he has s tiffness on his left side and needs assistance with activities like grooming and bathing. (Appellant Testimony)

The Department did not properly reduce the Appellant's HHS authorization. Both the and Advance Negative Action Notice failed t o provide the required advance notice of the reductions. The Advance Negative Action Notic e indicated an effective date of (Exhibit 2, page 2) The Advance Negative Action Notice indicated an effective date of (Exh ibit 1, page 5) None of the exceptions to the polic y requiring 10 business day advance notice of a negative action were present in this case. Information allegedly was repor ted by the HHS provider. The is no evidence of any statement from the A ppellant that he wanted services reduced or that the Appellant provided information that required a r eduction and understood the reduc tion would occur.

Additionally, the Department has not provided suf ficient evidence to support the <u>deter</u>minations to reduce the Appellant's HHS authorization in

The ASW only documented one phone call from the Appellant's HHS provider about bathing. T here was no documentation that the prov ider discussed grooming. dressing or mobility, nor of a second phone call reporting the HHS provider only assists with household c hores to support the elimination of grooming, dressing, and mobility. The Appellant's HHS testified he nev er made any calls to the Department reporting he did not assist the Appellant with bat hing or other ADLs. The ASW did not make any attempt to contact t he Appellant to clarify his needs prior to making the reductions. While the Departm ent submitted a DHS-54A Medic al Needs form from the Appellant's doctor with only household chores marked, this was not obtained until well after the Advanc e Negative Action Notic es were is sued. The reductions to the Appellant's HHS cas e proposed on the Advance Negative Action Notices cannot be upheld.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department improperly reduced the Appellant HHS authorization.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Depar tment's decision is REVERSED . The previously authorized HHS hours for bathing, grooming, dressing, an d mobility shall be added to the Appellant's HHS authorization retroactive to November 1, 2011.

Colleen Lack Administrative Law Judge for Olga Dazzo, Director Michigan Department of Community Health

Docket No. 2012-25133 HHS Decision and Order



Date Mailed:

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and O rder. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant March appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.