STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-24456 3021 February 15, 2012 Macomb (50-20)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin		
HEARING DEC	<u>ISION</u>	
This matter is before the undersigned Administra and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on February 15, 201 on behalf of Claimant included Claimant; and Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR daughter. Participants on behalf of the Departrincluded	for a hearing. 2, from Detroit, Mi , 3); and	After due notice, a ichigan. Participants Claimant's daughter, Claimant's
ISSUE		
Due to excess assets, did the Department prope ☑ close Claimant's case for:	erly 🗌 deny the C	laimant's application
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?	Adult Medical A	Assistance (AMP)? ance (MA)?
FINDINGS OF I	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, including the test fact:		
Claimant □ applied for benefits □ received below:	enefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?	Adult Medical A	Assistance (AMP). ance (MA)?

2.	Due to excess assets, on October 1, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case.
3.	On September 20, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On December 8, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is olemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

Additionally, assets must be considered in determining eligibility for FAP. BEM 400; BEM 213. The FAP asset limit is \$5,000. BEM 400. Cash, including bank accounts and savings, are assets. BEM 400.

In this case, in assessing the value of Claimant's assets in September 2011, the Department relied on Claimant's January 4, 2011, application in which Claimant stated that she had a savings account with \$5,500 and a checking account with \$1,912. Because the combined value of the accounts exceeded the \$5,000 FAP asset limit, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action on September 20, 2011, notifying her of the closure of her FAP case effective October 1, 2011.

When determining the value of a checking or savings account for FAP asset eligibility, the Department is required to consider the lowest balance during the month the determination is made. BEM 400. In order to verify the value of a bank account, the Department must consider the monthly statement or contact the financial institution by telephone. BEM 400. Asset eligibility exists when the group's countable assets are less than, or equal to, the applicable asset limit at least one day during the month being tested. BEM 400.

In this case, the Department did not consider the lowest balance of Claimant's checking and savings accounts during September 2011, the month it determined Claimant's FAP asset eligibility. Thus, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case effective October 1, 2011, for exceeding the FAP asset limit.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, for the reasons stated above and on the record, the Department's \square AMF \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED.
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP case, effective October 1, 2011; and

2. Issue supplements for FAP benefits Claimant was otherwise eligible to receive but did not from October 1, 2011, ongoing.

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 22, 2012

Date Mailed: February 22, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/pf

