STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	TH	IE N	ΛΑΙ	TER	₹ OF:
----	----	------	-----	-----	-------

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-24268 3015; 2014 February 8, 2012 St. Joseph		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. F	ahie			
HEARING DEC	CISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrand MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on Tuesday, Febr Participants on behalf of Claimant included to Department of Human Services (Department) in	st for a hearing. ruary 28, 2012 from the clai <u>mant. Partic</u>	After due notice, a Lansing, Michigan.		
ISSUE				
Due to excess income, did the Department properly \square deny the Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF	FACT			

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

applied for benefits for: \boxtimes received benefits for:

evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

1. Claimant

	On December 9, 2011, the Department
	On December 9, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) otice of the denial. closure. reduction.
	On December 20, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. closure of the case. reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	artment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the ges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is inistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Resp 42 U Ager 3131	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, ISC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-I. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective ober 1, 1996.
prog imple Regu Ager	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is emented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 3001-3015.
Secu The	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
for d as th	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance lisabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known ne Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
and 1990	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of D, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Parts 98

Date Mailed: <u>3/7/12</u>

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the claimant received unemployment compensation benefits (UCB) when she applied for benefits, but those benefits were not budgeted by the department in determing the claimant's eligibility. As a result, the claimant received FAP and MA benefits that she was not entitled to due to department error. On December 9, 2011, the department correct their error where the claimant received a decrease in FAP from \$367 to \$16 and received a MA spend down of \$857.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
☐ denied Claimant's application☒ reduced Claimant's benefits☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
/ <u>s/</u> Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: 3/6/12

3

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/ds

