# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

|    | TIL | A A | TTC | $\mathbf{n}$ | <b>~</b> E. |
|----|-----|-----|-----|--------------|-------------|
| IN | ΙН  | MΑ  | TTE | ĸ            | JF:         |

|  | Reg. No.:<br>Issue No.:<br>Case No.:<br>Hearing Date:<br>County: | 201223959<br>3003<br>February 8, 2012<br>Wayne County DHS (31) |
|--|--|--|
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. Brad | dley   |  |

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 8, 2011, from Detroi t, Michigan. Participant s on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Due to an increase in income, did the De partment properly det ermine the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial

| evidence on the whole record, finds as materia   | al fact:  |  |
|--|---|--|
| 1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐   | received benefits for:  |  |
| <ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul> | <ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)</li></ul> |  |

2. On January 9, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of case stating that Claimant's FAP benefits would be \$111 effective February 1, 2012.

|                       | On December 28, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's A HR filed a hearing r equest,  |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.  |
|                       | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW   |
|                       | epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).   |
|                       | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .   |
| Re<br>42<br>Ag<br>thr | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.  |
| pro<br>im<br>Re<br>Ag | The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.  |
| Se<br>Th              | The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.  |
| for<br>as             | The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.  |
| an<br>19<br>Th<br>an  | The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015. |

Additionally, the proper procedure for calcul ating a monthly FAP benef it issuance is set forth in BEM 556. The first step begins with calculating the group's monthly gro ss income. Gross countable earned income is reduced by a 20% earned income deduction. Every case is allowed the standard deduction shown in RF T 255. The standard deduction is subtracted from the countable monthly income to c alculate the group's adjusted gross income.

The Department then subtra cts countable medical expenses and exc ess shelte r expenses from Claimant's adj usted gross income to determine net income for FAP eligibility and benefit levels. BEM 554. The Department may only cons ider medic al expenses for group members that are senior , disabled, or a disabled vet eran (SDV). BEM 554.

In this case, the Department testified that it calculated the Clai mant's budget based on his unearned income of \$712.00 per month. The Department went on to testify that the Claimant has not provided the Department with any verification of shelter expenses that could be factored into the Claimant's FAP b udget. The Claimant test ified that he does not pay rent/mortgage and he does not pay utilities through DTE. The Claimant did testify that he pays for cooking fuel and kerosene heating oil, however, the Claimant admitted that this information had not been provided to the Department prior to the hearing.

A FAP group which has no heati ng/cooling expense but has a responsibility to pay for cooking fuel separate from r ent/mortgage or condo fees, is e ligible for the cooking fuel standard deduction. BEM 554. During the heari ng, the Department st ated that it is willing to consider the expenses for cooking fuel and heating oil in future budgets if allowed by policy. Based on the above facts, there is su ffucient evidence to establis h that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it calculated the Claimant's FAP benefits. The Claimant was advised that the Department will recalculate his budget once he submits information regarding his shelter expenses.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department properly determined the Claimant's FAP benefits.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

| The Administrative Law   | Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusion | าร |
|--------------------------|---|----|
| of Law, and for the reas | ons stated on the record, finds that the Department         |    |
| ☑ did act properly       | did not act properly.                                       |    |

#### 2012-23959/AJB

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Andrea J. Bradley

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 17, 2012

Date Mailed: February 17, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### AJB/cl

cc: