## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201223942 3003 February 8, 2012 Wayne County DHS (31)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. Brad	ley			
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 8, 2012, from Detroi t, Michigan. Participant s on behalf of Claimant included t he Claimant, Department of Human Services (Department) included. Religibility Specialist.				
ISSUE				
Due to an increase in income ,did the Department properly  ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☑ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	Adult Medical Ass State Disability As Child Developme	,		
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ re	ceived benefits for	r:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)	•	sistance (AMP). ssistance (SDA).		

	On January 1, 2012, the Department
3.	On December 17, 2011, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On December 27, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's A HR filed a hearing r equest, protesting the   denial of the application.   closure of the case.   reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the Aprogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, the undisputed evidence and te stimony established that the Claimant received an increase in RSDI income. The Department policy requires the Department to recalculate the Claimant's benefits when there has been an increase in income. BEM 504. As a result of the increas e in RSDI income, the Claimant 's FAP be nefits were decreased. The Claimant received all applicable standard deductions and there was no evidence that there was an er or in the FAP budget. Therefor e, the Department established that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it decreased the Claimant's FAP benefits based on an increase in income.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department  properly improperly
<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP HAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Andrea J. Bradley  Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services  Date Signed: February 17, 2012
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

3

Date Mailed: February 17, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Recuest

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## AJB/cl

