STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.: 201223774

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	3008 February 8, 2012 Washtenaw County DHS		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Are	ndt			
HEARING DEC	CISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 8, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included .				
ISSUE				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? / Relief (SER)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
 Claimant	∏FIP ⊠FAP ⊠M/	A □SDA □CDC ⊠		
 On October 13, 2011, the Claimant		ed with a verification		

3.	Claimant was required to submit requested verification by October 20, 2011 and October 24, 2011.
4.	Between approximately October 13, 2011 and October 20, 2011, the Claimant submitted to the Department the requested documentation.
5.	On approximately October 25, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for SER for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
6.	On November 1, 2011, the Department closed the Claimant's case for MA and FAP for failing to submit requested verification in a timely manner.
7.	On October 20, 2011 and October 25, 2011, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
8.	On January 5, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is olemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and by 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
In this case, I find more likely than not, the Claimant did in fact turn in the requested verifications. However due to a break down in communication between the Claimant, Worker and Supervisor, the Claimant's verifications were lost or misplaced.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\hfill \square$ AFFIRMED $\hfill \square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
 Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligibility for FAP and MA benefits beginning November 1, 2011 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.

 Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligibility for SER benefits beginning October 25, 2011 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.

/s/

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 9, 2012

Date Mailed: February 10, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/cr

CC:

