STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:	Reg. No: 201223047 Issue No: 2006
	Issue No: 2006 Case No: Hearing Date: February 8, 2012 Montcalm County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin S	cully
HEARING	DECISION
and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's re	·
<u>is:</u>	<u>SUE</u>
	ification requirements, did the Department close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?
<u>FINDINGS</u>	S OF FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon evidence on the whole record, including testing the second control of	on the competent, material, and substantial timony of witnesses, finds as material fact:
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ☑ v ☐CDC.	/as receiving: □FIP □FAP ☑MA □SDA
2. Claimant ⊠ was □ was (DHS-1010).	not provided with a Redetermination
3. Claimant was required	to submit requested verification by

4.	On September 19, 2011, the Department \square denied Claimant's application \boxtimes closed Claimant's case \square reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.	
5.	On September 19, 2011, the Department sent notice of the \square denial of Claimant's application. \boxtimes closure of Claimant's case. \square reduction of Claimant's benefits.	
6.	On December 13, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
•	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	
Responsibilit 42 USC 601 Agency) adr through Rule	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal by and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 et 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.	
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence inisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 e 400.3015.	
Security Act The Departn	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.	
for disabled as the Fami	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known by Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	
and XX of t 1990, and th The program and 99. Th	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE he Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of e Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. It is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 e Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL d 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.	

Additionally, the Claimant attempted to submit his Redetermination form on September 26, 2011, but only submitted the top side of the form, and failed to provide the information on the back side. The Claimant failed to provide the Department with information necessary to determine his continued eligibility to receive benefits.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits.	
DECISION AND ORDER	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly. \square did not act properly.	
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	
/s/ Kevin Scully	
Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services	

Date Signed: February 9, 2012

Date Mailed: February 9, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

201223047/KS

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

CC:

