STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	201222993
Issue No.:	3008
Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	February 2, 2012 SSPC East (98)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 2, 2012 from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the above named claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included , Manager.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \prod deny Claimant's application \bigotimes close Claimant's case \prod reduce Claimant's benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for was receiving: FIP KAP MA SDA CDC.
- 2. Claimant 🛛 was 🗌 was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).
- 3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by 12/12/11.

201222993/CG

- 4. On 12/14/11, the Department

 denied Claimant's application
 closed Claimant's case
 reduced Claimant's benefits
 for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
- 5. On 12/14/11, the Department sent notice of the
 ☐ denial of Claimant's application.
 ☐ closure of Claimant's case.
 ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
- 6. On 1/4/12, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Expedited FAP benefit processing has a shorter standard of promptness and fewer verification requirements to determine FAP eligibility than are normally required. BAM 117 at 1. FAP groups eligible for expedited FAP benefits that apply after the 15th of the month receive a minimum benefit period of two months (month of application and following month). *Id* at 4. FAP groups eligible for expedited service that fail to provide verifications will not be issued benefits for subsequent months until the FAP group provides the waived verification or completes a redetermination. *Id*. DHS is directed to allow the benefit period to expire if verifications are not submitted by the tenth day following the request (or extended date, if applicable) then DHS should allow the benefits to expire. *Id*.

Claimant requested a hearing to dispute a FAP benefit termination due to a failure to verify assets; specifically, Claimant failed to verify bank account information. It was not disputed that DHS processed expedited FAP benefits for Claimant stemming from an application dated 11/15/11. The expedited FAP eligibility allowed DHS to issue FAP benefits for 11/2011, prior to receiving necessary verifications from Claimant.

It was not disputed that Claimant had a checking account, received a Verification Checklist that requested verification of Claimant's checking account balance and that Claimant failed to timely respond to the Verification Checklist. Claimant testified that she was told by her bank that they would forward Claimant's checking account information to DHS. Claimant contended that her FAP benefits should not have ended because the fault rested with her bank for not forwarding the information to DHS; alternatively, Claimant contended that DHS should have called Claimant to remind her about the needed verification. It is Claimant's responsibility to follow up with her bank; whether the bank was also at fault is irrelevant. Also, DHS has no obligation to remind clients of what verifications were not submitted.

DHS subsequently stopped future FAP benefits as a result of Claimant's failure to verify a checking account balance. The DHS stoppage of FAP benefits was in compliance with DHS regulations.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly

 \boxtimes closed Claimant's case.

denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

 \boxtimes did act properly.

did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Christin Dardoch

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 8, 2012

Date Mailed: February 8, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

201222993/CG



