STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEDARTMENT OF HIMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HOW	IAN SERVICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201222912 3002 February 2, 2012 Oakland (03)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin		
HEARING DEC	ISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 2, 201 on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and behalf of Department of Human Services (De Assistance Payment Supervisor.	for a hearing. Afte 2, from Detroi t, Mi	er due notice, a ichigan. Participant s . Participants on
ISSUE		
Due to excess income, did the Department prop ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's b		laimant's applic atior
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	<u>FACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material f	•	ial, and substantial
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☒ r	eceived benefits fo	or:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

2.	On January 1, 2012, the Department	
3.	On December 17, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.	
4.	On December 29, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's A HR filed a hearing r equest, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.	
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.	
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of	

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, at the hearing, the Department testified that Claimant's FAP benefits were reduced to \$305 effective January 1, 2012, base d on an increas e in Claimant's unearned income. The Depart ment produced the January 2012 FAP budget showing its calculation of Claimant's monthly FAP benefits.

Claimant verified that his FAP group size was three, that he received \$712 in gros s monthly unearned income effective January 1, 2012 consisting of \$698 in Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and \$14 in monthly State Supplemental Pa yments (SSP), and that, based on the information the Department had at the time it calculated his January 2012 FAP budget, it properly used a monthly rental obligation of \$337.

The FAP budget produced by the Department showed gross monthly earned income by Claimant's wife totaling \$647. The Department testified that it based Claimant's wife's income on paystubs showing gross earned income of \$289.71 on December 2, 2011 and \$275.97 on December 16, 2011, which Claim ant verified were accurate. Based on these biweekly payments, Claimant's gross monthly earned income should have been \$608. BEM 505. However, Claimant's FAP budget shows \$647 as the total monthly earned income. Thus, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy in preparing Claimant's Januar y 2012 budget. Furthermore, Claimant testified at the hearing that his wife's income fluctuated. If the Department was aware of this fluctuation at the time it prepared the January 2012 budget, it was required to consider whether using Claimant's wife's income for the preceding sixty or ninety days was a more accurate indicator of Claimant's wife's gross monthly earned income. BEM 505.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department properly improperly
 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decisior is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated above and on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Recalculate Claimant's FAP budget for January 1, 2012 ongoing in accordance with Department policy;
- 2. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits Claimant was entitled to receive but did not for January 1, 2012, ongoing; and
- 3. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 7, 2012

Date Mailed: February 7, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2012-22912/ACE

ACE/cl

