

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 2012-22562
Issue No: 2009

[REDACTED]

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: [REDACTED]

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on [REDACTED]. The claimant appeared and provided testimony. [REDACTED] provided testimony on behalf of the department.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) properly determine the claimant did not meet the disability standard to receive Medicaid (MA-P)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The claimant was receiving interim MA.
2. On [REDACTED], the MRT denied the claimant.
3. On [REDACTED], the DHS issued notice.
4. On [REDACTED] claimant filed a hearing request.
5. On [REDACTED], the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant.
6. As of the date of hearing, claimant was a [REDACTED] standing 5'0" tall and weighing 187 pounds. Claimant completed the [REDACTED] and had earned a [REDACTED].

7. Claimant testified that she smokes about ½ pack of cigarettes per day, does not drink alcohol and does not use any illicit or illegal drugs.
8. Claimant does not have a driver's license as it is still suspended.
9. Claimant is not currently working. Claimant last worked in [REDACTED] as a home help provider and daycare provider. Claimant also has previous work experience taking care of patients in a nursing home.
10. Claimant alleges disability on the basis of back pain, asthma, bronchitis, leg pain/swelling, anxiety and depression.
11. In [REDACTED], the claimant suffered a fracture of her left ankle. X-rays and examination in [REDACTED] showed the claimant had totally healed.
12. The claimant presented to the emergency room in [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] due to bronchitis/upper respiratory infections. A chest x-ray on [REDACTED] found no clear infiltrate.
13. A mental status examination was conducted on [REDACTED]. The claimant expressed a desire to reduce her depression and deal with grief from recent deaths and the loss of her job. The claimant was oriented x 4. She had no suicidal or homicidal ideations. No psychotic features were present. She had a flat affect, depressed mood and poor self-concept. Her judgment and insight were fair. Her speech was coherent. Her concentration was fair and her memory was intact (both recent and remote). Claimant was diagnosed with major depression, alcohol abuse in partial remission and assigned a Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) of 53.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disability or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in Title XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Michigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order:

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

...Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological

abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.

- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analysis herein, claimant is not ineligible at the first step as claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). To meet the durational requirements for the MA program, the claimant's condition must last or be expected to last for a continuous period of 12 months. BEM 260. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe and a

finding of not disabled is made at Step 2 when medical evidence establishes only a slight abnormality or combination of slight abnormalities, which would have no more than a minimal effect on an individual's ability to work, even if the individual's education and/or work experience were specifically considered. Social Security Ruling 85-28. In other words, a finding of no severity is appropriate when a person's impairments have no more than a minimal effect on his or her physical or mental abilities to perform basic work activities.

In this case, the claimant was treated for bronchitis/respiratory issues in [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. The claimant's asthma appears to be under control through medication at this time. Thus, this condition did not last the required 12 months. There is no evidence in the medical records to support any severe condition of back pain or problems or leg pain/swelling. The only records relating to leg problems were from [REDACTED]. There are no records indicating the claimant is being treated for anxiety.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C). The only condition left is the claimant's depression. There is no Mental Residual Functional Capacity Assessment in the medical case record. The claimant testified that she is capable of her own activities of daily living. Claimant reported to her treating therapist that she enjoys reading and playing cards and spends her leisure time reading and going for walks. While the claimant may have some situational grief issues that she is dealing with, even the claimant's therapist rated her concentration, judgment and insight as fair and both her remote and recent memory intact. The claimant's sociability was rated as average.

No objective evidence has been presented to show that claimant has any deficits in concentration, persistence, pace or the ability to tolerate mental demands. In fact, the claimant's symptoms appear to be under adequate control when the claimant appropriately follows her treatment plan. The law does not require an applicant to be completely symptom free before a finding of lack of disability can be rendered. In fact, if an applicant's symptoms can be managed to the point where substantial gainful activity can be achieved, a finding of not disabled must be rendered. In this case, the medical evidence does not document severe medical conditions that would significantly impact the claimant's ability to work, thus the claimant is denied at Step 2 of the analysis.

The claimant has not presented the required competent, material and substantial evidence which would support a finding that the claimant has an impairment or combination of impairments which would significantly limit the physical or mental ability to do basic work activities for a continuous period of 12 months. Although the claimant has cited medical problems, the clinical documentation submitted by the claimant is not sufficient to establish a finding that the claimant is disabled. There is no objective medical evidence to substantiate the claimant's claim that the alleged impairment(s) are

severe enough to reach the criteria and definition of disability. The claimant is not disabled for the purposes of the MA program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department's actions were correct.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is **UPHELD**.

/s/ _____
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: _____

Date Mailed: _____

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

SLM/jk

cc: _____
MAHS