STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	TUI	Ξ ΜΑ	\mathbf{D}	
113		- 1/1/2		_



Reg. No.: 20122199 Issue No.: 1021

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 2, 2011

County: Wayne County DHS (49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. Bradley

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admir and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's red telephone hearing was held on November 2 on behalf of Claimant included the Claima Department of Human Services (Departmen	quest for a hearing. After due notice, a d, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants nt, Participants on behalf of
<u>ISS</u>	<u>UE</u>
Did the Department properly $igtimes$ deny Clair for:	nant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS	OF FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as mate	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
1. On August 4, 2011, the Claimant ⊠ app	lied for benefits Treceived benefits for:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	At the time of the application, the Claimant reported to the Department that she was homeless and made a request to use the Department address as her mailing address.
3.	The Department required the Claimant to indicate an address, other than the Department, where correspondence could be sent.
	On August 22, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to repeated returned mail sent to the Claimant and the Claimant did not report address change to the Department.
5.	On August 22, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
6.	On September 23, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
□ ad	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.

☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.				
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.				
Additionally, Department policy defines a homeless person as an individual who lacks a fixed and regular nighttime dwelling or whose temporary nighttime dwelling is the home of another person. BEM 220. The testimony of both the Department and the Claiman established that, at the time of application, the Claimant did not have a fixed dwelling and was staying at the homes of several different individuals. Therefore there is no dispute that the Claimant was homeless.				
The Claimant indicated that she was homeless on her application, and also attempted to use the Department address as her mailing address. The Department testified that it scratched off the Department mailing address from the Claimant's application then told the Claimant that it was not appropriate to receive mail at the Department. The Department further testified that the Claimant was required to give an address where she could receive her mail. Both parties testified that the Claimant reported to the Department that at times she stayed with her niece. Therefore that address was used as the mailing address. The Department testified that it wrote on the application that the listed address was that of the Claimant's niece. Under these facts, the Department failed to establish that it acted in accordance with Department policy, because policy expressly states that in the case of a homeless person, the Department is to use the local office address or another location agreeable to the individual as the mailing address in Bridges. Further, the Department is not supposed to designate a temporary mailing address as the individual's physical address.				
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case				
for:				

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ightharpoonup did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall register the Claimant's August 4, 2011 application and initiate processing in accordance with Department policy.
- 2. The Department shall allow the Claimant to designate either the local Department address or another address agreeable to the Claimant as her mailing address.
- 3. The Department shall supplement the Claimant for any lost benefits she was eligible and otherwise qualified to receive based on the August 4, 2011 application in accordance with Department policy.

Andrea J. Bradley
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 14, 2011

Date Mailed: November 14, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-2199/AJB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ALB/hw

