STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201221981

Issue No.:

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 1, 2012

3021

County: Wayne (55)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 1, 2012, from Detroi t, Michigan. Participant s on behalf of Claimant incl uded Claimant and Participants on behalf of Department of Hu man Services (Department) included Assistance Payment Worker.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly issue a Food Assistance Program (FAP) supplement to Claimant and then recoup and apply it towards an overissuance?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant is an ongoing FAP recipient.
- 2. On September 30, 2011, the Department closed Claimant 's F AP case based on Claimant's failure to provide requested verifications.
- 3. On October 26, 2011, the Department reinstated Claimant's FAP case after acknowledging that it had closed the case erroneously.
- 4. On December 2, 2011, the Department issued a hel p-desk ticket to Lansing, explaining that Claimant's case had been improperly closed and requesting a supplement to Claimant's FAP benefits for the period from October 1, 2011, to October 26, 2011.
- 5. On December 19, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Additionally, at the hearing the Department acknowledged that Claimant was entitled to a FAP supplement of \$295 for the period fr om October 1, 2011, when it erroneously closed Claimant's FAP case, and October 26, 2011, when it reinstated Claimant's FAP case. Claimant conceded that she had rece ived ongoing FAP benefits other than the

period between October 1, 2011, and October 26, 2011, and the only am ount at issue was the \$295 in F AP benefits for that peri od. The Department testified, and the evidence supported, that a supplement for \$295 had been issued on January 30, 2012.

However, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Overissuance on January 24, 2012, informing her of an overissuance of \$782 in F AP benefits for the period between May 1, 2010, and June 30, 2011. The Department's records showed that the Department recouped the \$295 F AP supplement it issued on January 30, 2012, and applied it towards Claimant's outstanding FAP overissuance of \$782. The Department is permitted to automatically offset FAP supplements issued to restore lost benefit is against FAP overissuances. BAM 725. Because the Notice of Overissuance was sent on January 24, 2012, prior to the Department's issuance of the \$295 FAP is upplement on January 30, 2012, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it recouped the supplement and applied it towards Claimant is \$782 overissuance, reducing the outstanding overissuance due by Claimant to \$487.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☑ did act properly when it offset Claimant's \$295 FAP supplement against Claimant's \$782 FAP overissuance. ☐ did not act properly when .
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated above and on the record.

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 7, 2012

Date Mailed: February 7, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/cl

