STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MAT	TER OF:	Reg. No: Issue No: Case No:	3008	
		Hearing Date: SSPC-WEST	January 25, 2012	
ADMINISTR	ATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully			
HEARING DECISION				
and MCL 4 telephone he Participants	is before the undersigned Administration. 37 following Claimant's requestearing was held on Wednesday, Jaron behalf of Claimant included of Human Services (Department) inc	st for a hearing. Aft nuary 25, 2012, from L Particip	er due notice, a	
	ISSUE			
	ailure to comply with the verificat deny Claimant's application ☐ clos	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC) ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT				
	strative Law Judge, based upon the the whole record, including testimon		•	
1.	Claimant ⊠ applied for □ was red CDC.	ceiving: □FIP ⊠FAP	□MA □SDA □	
2.	Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not (DHS-3503).	provided with a Veri	fication Checklist	
3.	Claimant was required to December 8, 2011.	submit requested	verification by	

4.	On December 13, 2011, the Department \(\subseteq \) denied Claimant's application \(\subseteq \) closed Claimant's case \(\subseteq \) reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.			
5.	On December 13, 2011, the Department sent notice of the \boxtimes denial of Claimant's application. \square closure of Claimant's case. \square reduction of Claimant's benefits.			
6.	On December 22, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial. \square closure. \square reduction.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
•	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Responsibilit 42 USC 601, Agency) adn through Rule	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal by and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 et 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.			
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is I by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 et 400.3015.			
Security Act The Departm	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.			
for disabled as the Fami	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known ly Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.			
and XX of t 1990, and th The program and 99. Th	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE he Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of e Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. In is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 are Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.			

Date Mailed: January 26, 2012

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclus stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge ☑ properly ☐ improperly ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.	e concludes that the Department			
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above of Law, and for the reasons stated on the rec ☑ did not act properly.	•			
Accordingly, the Department's decision is X AFF reasons stated on the record.	REVERSED for the			
	/s/ Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director			
Date Signed: January 26, 2012	Department of Human Services			

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

