STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201220981 Issue No.: 2018; 3002

Case No.: Hearing Date:

: January 19, 2012

County: Wayne (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J anuary 19, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participant s on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Manager, and Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly reduce Claimant's Food Assist ance Prog ram (FAP) benefits?

Did the Department properly recategorize Clair eligibility?

recategorize Claim ant's Medical Ass istance (MA)

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant is an ongoing recipient of FAP and MA benefits.
- 2. In a Dec ember 13, 2011, Notic e of Ca se Action, the Departm ent notified Claimant that, based on an increase in Claimant's income, effective December 1, 2011, Claimant's monthly FAP allo tment was reduced to \$49 a nd effective January 1, 2012, Claimant's Medicare Savings Program benefit type was changed from Specified Low-Income Medica re B eneficiaries (S LMB) to A dditional Low -Income Medicare Beneficiaries (ALMB).

3. On December 19, 2011, Claimant filed a Request for Hearing dis puting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 t hrough R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
∑ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.
∑ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3 151 through R 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Feder al Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

Additionally, at the hearing, the Department testified that the changes in Claimant's FAP and MA benefits were due to an increase in Claimant's income.

Claimant verified the amount of Retirement, Survivors, and Disa bility Insurance (RSDI) benefits she and her minor child received, the amount she earned as a home healt hear service provider, and her monthly rent amount. She also verified that there were two members in her FAP group. Based on the is information, the Department calculated Claimant's gross monthly income in accordance with Department policy and proper ly concluded that Claimant was entitled to monthly FAP bene fits of \$49 for December 1, 2011, ongoing. BEM 554; BEM 556; RFT 255.

Claimant was also c oncerned because her M edicare Savings Program category had been changed from SLMB to ALMB bas ed on her income. The difference between SLMB and ALMB is that SLMB pays Medi care Part B premiums and ALMB pays Medicare Part B premiums provided fundi ng is av ailable, as determined by the Department of Community Health. BEM 165. The determination of whether an individual is entitled to SLMB or ALMB is based on t he individual's net inc ome. BEM 165. However, changes in RSDI income are subject to federal law requir ing that, for January, February and March, (i) the RSDI cost-of-living in crease received starting in January be disregar ded for fiscal group members, and (ii) the income limits for the preceding December be used. BEM 165. In this case, the Department used the costof-living increase that Claimant was to receive in Ja nuary 2012 to determine Claimant's net income for MA purposes, which resulted in an inc rease in Claimant's net income and a change in her categorization under the Medicare Savings Program from SLMB to ALMB. See RFT 242. The Department fail ed to act in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Claim ant's MA budget and change d her Medic are Savings Program category.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions
of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department
☑ did act properly when it calculated Claim ant's monthly FAP allot ment for December
1, 2011, ongoing.
did not act properly when it changed in her categorizat ion under the Medicare
Savings Program from SLMB to ALMB.
Accordingly, for the reasons stated above and on the record, the Department's decision
is AFFIRMED REVERSED AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to the
calculation of Claimant's FA P benefits and REVER SED IN PART with respect to the
Claimant's categorization under the Medicare Savings Program as ALMB.

☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Change Claimant's Medicare Savings Program elig ibility from ALMB to SLMB in accordance with Department policy.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 24, 2012

Date Mailed: January 24, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/cl

