STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEL ARTIMENT OF HOMAN CERTICES				
IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-20978 3008 January 18, 2012 SSPC-East (97-98)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levente	er			
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 18, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimar for:	nt's application 🛭 c	lose Claimant's case		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial		
. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received benefits for:				
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2.	On December 31, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to lack of verification of Claimant's in-kind income.		
3.	On December 20, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.		
4.	On December 20, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.		
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.		
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.		
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.		
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through le 400.3180.		

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care as 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opport The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of and 99. The Department provides services to adults 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5001.	nd Development Block Grant of tunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and children pursuant to MCL			
Additionally, although Claimant testified he did not receive the Department, he also testified that he had nothing income, so he did not do anything to comply with the was not credible in his testimony as to what active verification of income.	ng to send to verify his in-kind Department's request. Claimant			
The Department's records indicate a Verification Che December 1, 2011. Department records indicated that was in-kind income of \$500 room and utilities in excha for the group home. Claimant recei (prorated) and December 2011 and completed a Chan steps to fulfill the Department's request for verification.	t Claimant told them his income nge for performing various tasks ved FAP benefits for November			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusion stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concentrations are stated on the record of the recor				
	rly denied Claimant's application rly closed Claimant's case			
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.				
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.				
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. Claimant may provide the Department with suitable verification of income and receive benefits at the level to which he is entitled.				
	Jan Coentr			
Date Signed: January 23, 2012	Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services			
-				

3

Date Mailed: January 23, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

