STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MAT	ITER OF:	_	No: No:	201220914 2006, 3008		
		Hear	e No: ring Date: ne County D	February 7, 20 DHS)12	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully						
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, February 7, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and .						
	ISSU	<u>E</u>				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?						
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:						
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was ☐CDC.	receiving:	□FIP ⊠F	AP ⊠MA □S	DA	
2.	Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not pr (DHS-4638).	ovided with	a Wage Ma	atch Client No	tice	
3.	Claimant was required to December 8, 2011.	submit	requested	verification	by	

4.	On December 13, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.				
5.	On December 13, 2011, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.				
6.	On December 13, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.				
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
•	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges inual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.					
program] is implemented Regulations	od Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is d by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 et 400.3015.				
Security Act The Departr	lical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.				
for disabled as the Fami	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known ly Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.				
and XX of the 1990, and the program and 99.	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. In is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 are Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.				

Date Mailed: February 7, 2012

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclus stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge properly improperly closed Claimant's case. reduced Claimant's benefits.	concludes that the Department					
DECISION AND ORDER						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds ☐ did not act properly.	•					
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFF reasons stated on the record.	IRMED REVERSED for the					
	/s/					
	Kevin Scully					
	Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director					
	Department of Human Services					
Date Signed: February 7, 2012						

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

201220914/KS

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

