## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-2046 2018 February 23, 2012 Macomb (50-36)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. O	wens			
HEARING DECIS	SION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an inperson hearing was held on February 23, 2012, from Sterling Heights, Michigan Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant, Claimant's Daughter, and Claimant's Representative, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included				
ISSUE				
Did the Department properly $\hfill \Box$ deny Claimant's for:	application 🛚 cl	ose Claimant's case		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? Care (CDC)?	State Disability	Assistance (AMP)? y Assistance (SDA)? evelopment and		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				

1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:

	<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).  State Disability Assistance (SDA).  Child Development and Care (CDC).	
2.	2. On October 1, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application due to failure to return verifications.	closed Claimant's case	
3.	3. On August 30, 2011, the Department sent ☐ Claimant's Authorize notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.	zed Representative (AR)	
4.	<ul> <li>On September 16, 2011, Claimant filed a hear</li> <li>☐ denial of the application.</li></ul>	• • •	
	CONCLUSIONS O	OF LAW	
	Department policies are contained in the Bridg Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Referer		
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliant USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 hrough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to affective October 1, 1996.	ion Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, y known as the Family Independence of the seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101	
pro im <sub>l</sub> Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formorogram] is established by the Food Stamp implemented by the federal regulations contain Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 4400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	Act of 1977, as amended, and is ed in Title 7 of the Code of Federal known as the Family Independence	
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.			
	☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is es idministered by the Department pursuant to MCL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA			

program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, the Department sent a verification checklist to Claimant on August 8, 2011. This checklist failed to indicate specifically what verifications the Department wanted Claimant to provide. The checklist simply listed "Disability Unknown/Receives SSI RSDI Unknown." No other information regarding what items the Department wanted were indicated on the verification checklist. When the Department failed to hear from Claimant by August 18, 2011, the Department initiated case closure effective October 1, 2011.
Here, the Department closed Claimant's case for failure to return verifications but failed to actually indicate the specific verifications needed from Claimant.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate re-opening Claimant's MA case back to the date of closure.

Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 5, 2012

Date Mailed: March 5, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 2012-2046/JWO

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JWO/pf

