STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-2044 Issue No.: 2009

Issue No.: Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 21, 2012 County: Macomb (36)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Wednesday, March 21, 2012. The Claimant did not appear; however her Author ized Hearing Representative appeared and testified.

Participating on behalf of the Department of Human Se rvices ("Department") was

u.

ISSUE

Whether the Department proper ly processed the Claimant's January 5, 2010 Medical Assistance application, retroactive to December 2009?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant submitted an application for medical assistance ("MA") on January 5, 2010 with retroactive benefits for December 2009.
- 2. On September 7, 2011, the Department received the Claimant's written request for hearing protesting the processing of the January 2010 application.
- 3. During the hearing, the Depar tment agreed to re-process the Claimant's application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Assistance program ("MA") is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the Code of F ederal Regulations. T he Department of Human Services, formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Br idges Administ rative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In this case, the Department agreed to reprocess the Claimant's January 2010 application with retroactive benef its to December 2009. Al I parties were amenable to this resolution. In light of the accord, there is no further issue that needs to be addressed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Department's actions are not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's action is not upheld.
- 2. The Department shall, as agreed, init iate processing of the January 5, 2010 application retroactive to December 2009, in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall notify the Claimant and her Authorized Hearing Representative of the determination in accordance with Department policy.
- The Department shall supplement for lo st benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receiv e with respect to the January 5, 2010 application if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Colleen M. Mamelka
Colleen M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 22, 2012 Date Mailed: March 22, 2012 **NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

