STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER C	OF:	Reg. No: Issue No:	201220263 2006	
		Case No: Hearing Date: Jackson County	March 22, 2012	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A Sundquist				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a 3-way telephone hearing was held on Thursday, March 22, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included representative of Human Services (Department) included				
	<u>ISSUE</u>			
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CMA)?				
	FINDINGS OF FAC	<u>I</u>		
	Law Judge, based upon the concle record, including testimony of	· ·	-	
1. Claim □CD	nant ⊠ applied for □ was receive. C.	ving:	FAP ⊠MA □SDA	
	nant ⊠ was □ was not provi -3503)	ided with a Ver	ification Checklist	
3. Claim 2011.	ant was required to submit requ	ested verification	by September 4,	

201220263/WAS

4.	On September 7, 2011, the Department \boxtimes denied Claimant's application \square closed Claimant's case \square reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.		
5.	On September 7, 2011, the Department sent notice of the \boxtimes denial of Claimant's application. \square closure of Claimant's case. \square reduction of Claimant's benefits.		
6.	On December 2, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial. \square closure. \square reduction.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
•	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
Responsibilit 42 USC 601 Agency) adn	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal by and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective 996.		
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 15		
Security Act The Departn	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.		
for disabled as the Fami	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known ly Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.		
and XX of t 1990, and th The program and 99. Th	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE he Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of e Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. It is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 e Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL d 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.		

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ⊠ properly ☐ improperly ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☒ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/s/ William A Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 2, 2012

Date Mailed: April 2, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;

201220263/WAS

the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

WAS/tb

