STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	TH	MΛ.	TT		\mathbf{a}	
ПV		VI /~		ᄗ		Г.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012 20146 3021 January 19, 2012 Macomb County DHS (20)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris			
HEARING DECIS	<u>ION</u>		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for telephone hearing was held on January 19, 2012, on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and hearing. Participants on behalf of Department included.	or a hearing. / from Detroit, Mic er Authorized Rep	After due notice, a chigan. Participants presentative,	
ISSUE			
Due to excess assets, did the Department properlication close Claimant's case for:	y 🛚 deny the Cl	aimant's application	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Food Assistance (FAP) ?	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)?		
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the c evidence on the whole record, including the testim fact:			
1. Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received be	nefits for:		
Family Independence Program (FIP). Medical Assistance (MA). Food Assistance Program (FAP).		assistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA)	

 Due to excess assets, on November 1, .2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case.
 On November 7, 2011, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
 On November 22, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

Additionally, the evidence presented at the hearing, which consisted of verifications of assets submitted by the Claimant and confirmed by her as correct indicated that the Claimant's assets well exceeded the \$5,000 recently imposed as an asset limitation for FAP eligibility. Exhibit 4. The Claimant confirmed that these assets were correct. The

Claimant mistakenly believed that because she applied only for her adoptive children that her assets would not count. The Claimant lives with the children and prepares meals and shares food together. Therefore the Department correctly included the Claimant's assets in its determination of whether the FAP group assets exceeded the \$5,000. This policy change to include a limitiation of assets when determineing FAP eligibility took effect October 1, 2 011. BEM 400.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department

| properly denied Claimant's application | improperly denied Claimant's application | improperly closed Claimant's case | improperly closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square MA \square SDA. \boxtimes FAP

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP MA SDA decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 24, 2012

Date Mailed: January 24, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

