STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	TIL	A A	TTC	\mathbf{n}	~ E.
IN	ΙН	MΑ	TTE	ĸ	JF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-19450 2006 April 16, 2012 Wayne (82-82)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chav	•	wayne (62-62)
HEARING DECIS	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on April 16, 2012, from behalf of Claimant included Claimant's Author Participants on behalf of the Department of Humant's Author Claimant in Claimant in Claimant in Claimant's Author Claimant on Behalf of the Department of Humant Claimant in	for a hearing. om Detroit, Michig rized Representa	After due notice, a gan. Participants on tive,
ISSUE		
Due to a failure to comply with the verification properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	_	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony		•
Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐F	IP □FAP ⊠MA [□SDA □CDC
Claimant was required to submit requested ver	ification by June 1	1, 2011.
3. On July 31, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application		

	
4.	On July 13, 2011, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
5.	On October 31, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction of Claimant's case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective ctober 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
an 19 Th an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the Department admitted that claimant's Authorized Representative was not included in any of the notifications sent out. Claimant's Authorized Representative, who represents claimant in all matters before the Department, was not sent the request for verification or the notice of case action. The Department had reason to know that claimant's representative was the representative on the case at hand and, therefore, should have sent the paperwork in question to claimant. Because it did not, the Department cannot be said to have properly processed the paperwork in question and must redo said paperwork. Furthermore, because claimant did not receive the notice of case action, timeliness has not tolled and claimant's hearing request was submitted timely.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 Resend to claimant, and claimant's representative any required requests for verification to establish eligiblilty; Ensure that claimant's representative is listed as the Authorized Representative on claimant's case.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 19, 2012

Date Mailed: April 19, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/pf

