STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	TH	MΛ.	TT		\mathbf{a}	
ПV		VI /~		ᄗ		Г.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-19424 3008 January 19, 2012 Oakland (04)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burk	e	
HEARING DECIS	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on January 19, 2012 on behalf of Claimant included Claimant's son, Department of Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. , from Detroit, Mi Parti	After due notice, a chigan. Participants cipants on behalf of
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Due to a failure to comply with the verificatio properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF F	ACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony	•	-
Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐F	TIP ⊠FAP □MA [□SDA □CDC.
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a N	ew Hire Client No	tice.
Claimant was required to submit requested ver	ification by Noven	nber 28, 2011.

 4. On January 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
 5. On December 1, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. Closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
 On December 14, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-19342 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.310 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and i implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MC 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVI and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 130. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. *Id.* The Department can use documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. *Id.* The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide the information should be extended at least once. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then policy directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130.

In the present case, the Department issued to Claimant a New Hire Client Notice ("Notice") regarding Claimant's son, requesting the form to be filled out, signed, dated and returned to the Department by November 28, 2011. Claimant's son, who is a member of Claimant's FAP group, testified that he saw the Notice, but since he declined the job offered by the employer listed in the Notice, he did not fill out the form and mail it to the Department. Claimant's son pointed out that there was no place on the form to indicate that he was not working for the listed employer. However, Claimant's son also testified that he did not call the Department for clarification because he was in school full time. I am not convinced that Claimant's son cooperated with the Department as required by policy. Claimant could have made time during the day to call the Department for clarification, but he chose to not do so. I do not find that Claimant's son made a reasonable effort to provide to the Department the information that he was not working for the listed employer.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasor stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☑ properly ☐ improperly	
	

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly.} \infty \text{did not act properly.}
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>1/26/12</u>

Date Mailed: <u>1/26/12</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-19424/SCB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

