STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. Issue No. Case No. Hearing Date: County: 2012-19375 1038 January 12, 2012 Wayne County

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Ad ministrative Law Judge upon Claimant's request for a hearing made purs uant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, which govern the administrative hearing and appeal process. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 12, 2012, from Detroit, Mich igan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Participants on Behalf of Department of FIS JET Worker.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly closed Cla imant's case for benefits under the Family Independence Program (FIP) based on Claimant's failure to participate in e mployment-related activities.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits and was required to participate in employment-related activities.
- 2. On October 25, 2011, the Departm ent sent Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance informing Claim ant of a failure to participate in e mploymentrelated activities on October 10, 2011, October 14, 2011 and October 19, 2011 (date(s)) and scheduling a triage for November 1, 2011.

3. Cla	imant 🗌 participated	🔀 did not participate	in the triage.
--------	----------------------	-----------------------	----------------

2012-19375/SCB

- 4. The Department ⊠ held the triage and fou nd that Claimant had failed t o comply with employment-related ac tivities without good cause ☐ did not hold the triage.
- 5. Cla imant \square did \square did not participate in employment-related activities.
- 6. On November 4, 2011, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action closing Claimant's FIP case, effective December 1, 2012, based on a failure to participate in employment-related activities_without good cause.
- 7. This was Claimant's if first second is third sanction for failing to comply with JET obligations.
 The Department did not sanction Claimant for the noncompliance.
- 8. On December 12, 2011, Claimant requested a hearing disputin g the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

In order to increase their employ ability and obtain employment, work eligible individuals (WEI) seeking FIP are required to participat e in the JET Program or other employmentrelated activity unless temporarily defe rred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. BEM 230A; BEM 233A. Failing or refusing to attend or participate in a JET program or other employment servic e provider without good cause constitutes a noncom pliance with employm ent or self-sufficient related activities. BEM 233A. Good cause is a valid reason for nonc ompliance which is beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. BEM 233A. JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without the Departm ent first scheduling a triage m eeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good c ause. BEM 233A. Good cause must be based on the best information available at the triage and must be considered even if the client does not attend the triage. BEM 2 33A. In processing a FIP closure, the Department is required to send the client a Notice of Noncompliance (DHS-2444) which

2012-19375/SCB

must include the date(s) of the noncompliance, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant, and the penalty duration. BEM 233A.

In the pres ent case, Claimant was employed full time at t he time of the alleged non-participation.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly closed Claimant's FIP case. improperly closed Claimant's FIP case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department idid act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Remove the sanction on Claimant's FIP case.

2. Initiate reinstatem ent of Claimant's FIP case, e ffective December 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FIP.

3. Initiate issuance of FIP suppl ements to Claimant for any missed payments, December 1, 2011 and ongoing.

Jusa C. Buche

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>1/18/12</u>

Date Mailed: 1/18/12

2012-19375/SCB

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SB/sm

CC:

Re

