STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:	201219130					
Issue No:	1021					
Case No:						
Hearing Date:	January 25, 2012					
Kalamazoo County DHS						

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, January 25, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included **Excercise**. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included **Excercise**.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly 🖾 deny Claimant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case for:

\geq	\langle
Г	٦
F	i

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received benefits for: ⊠ Family Independence Program (FIP). □ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). □ Food Assistance Program (FAP). □ State Disability Assistance (SDA).
 □ Medical Assistance (MA). □ Child Development and Care (CDC).
- 2. On November 29, 2011, the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application □ closed Claimant's case due to a current sanction for noncompliance with the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program that does not expire until March 31, 2012.

- 3. On November 29, 2011, the Department sent \square Claimant \square Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the \square denial. \square closure.
- 4. On December 6, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \square closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

ד 🗌	The	Adult	Medical	Program	(AMP)	is	established	by	42	USC	1315,	and	is
administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.													

☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

Additionally, the Claimant argued that she was compliant with the JET program, but another group member was noncompliant. The Claimant testified that after this other group member refused to complete his JET assignment, that she also stopped attending because she believed that her benefit group would be sanctioned regardless of whether she complied or not. The evidence establishes that the Claimant stopped participating in the JET program before she benefits case was sanctioned, and that she has a valid JET program sanction on record.

It is not relevant to his hearing whether the Claimant was noncompliant with the JET program without good cause in the past because the time limits to protest this decision have past. The Department has established that it properly sanctioned the Claimant for noncompliance with the JET program, and that this sanction remains in effect although the other noncompliant group member has left the household.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for: AMP SFIP FAP MA SDA CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes **AFFIRMED** \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

/s/

Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 25, 2012

Date Mailed: January 26, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

