STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	JΤ	HF	MΔ	T	ΓER	O	F٠
						_	

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date:	2012-191 2021 December 19, 2011				
	County:	Oakland (02)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. E	Bennane					
HEARING DE	CISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 19, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant and participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included (APW) and						
ISSUE						
Due to excess assets, did the Department pro ☐ close Claimant's case for:	perly 🛚 deny the C	laimant's app lication				
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	=	Assistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)?				
FINDINGS OF	F FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the test imony at the hearing, finds as material fact:						
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received	d benefits for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☑ Medical Assistance (MA).		Assistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).				
 Due to excess assets, on July 19, 2011, the	Department sed Claimant's case) .				

3. On July 19, 2011, the Department sent ⊠ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ⊠ denial. ☐ closure.					
 On July 29, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .					
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.					
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.					
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.					
Additionally, the Department provided documentation of the claimant's available assets that were over the limit of $\$3,000.00$					
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department					
properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case					
for: AMP FIP MA SDA.					

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☑ did not act properly. ☑ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ MA ☐ SDA decision is ☐ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 11, 2012

Date Mailed: January 11, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

