STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	1	П	Ц		٨	Λ	١п	ГΕ	R	•		E	•
	ľ			п	_	IIV	117	١		п	•	_	•	

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-18974 1021 October 3, 2012 Wayne (43)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. B	Burke	
HEARING DE	<u>ECISION</u>	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request was held on October 3, 2012, in Highland Package Claimant and Department of Human Services (Department) in	for a hearing. After of ark, Mi chigan. Partic ipants	lue notice, a hearing
ISSUI		
Did the Departm ent properly ⊠ deny Claimar □ calculate Claimant's benefits for:	n t's application 🔲 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A	sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS O	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on televidence on the whole record, finds as material	ne competent, materi Il fact:	al, and substantial
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ receive	d benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

	The Department ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ calculated aimant's benefits.
3.	On December 2, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \square closure of the case. \square calculation.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through the 400.3180.
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Parts 98

and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

In the present case, the Department denied Claimant's FIP application due to exceeding the federal 60 month time limit. However, per BP 2012-006, this Department policy has been suspended.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly calculated Claimant's benefits ☐ improperly calculated Claimant's benefits
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 Initiate reprocessing of Claimant's FIP application of October 7, 2011. Issue FIP supplements in accordance with Department policy if Claimant is found to be otherwise eligible for FIP.
Jupa C. Bruke

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge

Date Signed: October 10, 2012

Date Mailed: October 10, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/ctl

