STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:201218938Issue No:2009Case No:Hearing Date:Hearing Date:February 23, 2012Van Buren County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, February 23, 2012. Claimant personally appeared and testified on her behalf.

ISSUE

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 21, 2011, claimant applied for MA-P, was denied on December 1, 2011 per BEM 260, and requested a hearing on December 7, 2011.
- 2. Claimant's vocational factors are: age 45, ninth grade education, and work experience as an unskilled grounds keeper and semi-skilled work as restaurant cook and **semi-skilled**.
- 3. In April 2010, claimant quit her last job for medical reasons.
- 4. On date of application, claimant alleges disability due to hypertension and blocked/inflamed colon.
- 5. Medical exam on June 10, 2011, states the claimant has mild non-specific wall thickening involving the small bowel and possibly the distal colon (Medical Packet, Page 17).
- 6. Medical exam on September 24, 2011, states the claimant walked with a normal gait; that there is not joint instability or enlargement or effusion;

that grip strength remains intact; that dexterity is unimpaired; that claimant could pickup a coin, button clothing, and open a door; that she had not difficulty getting on and off the examination table, no difficulty heel and toe walking and no difficulty squatting; that range of motion of ball joints is normal; that motor strength and function is normal; that sensory function remains intact; that reflexes are intact and symmetrical; and that Romberg testing is negative (Medical Packet, Page 57).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The burden of proof is on the claimant to establish disability in accordance with the 5 step process below. ...20 CFR 460.912(a).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If

yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, disability is not denied. The evidence of record, on date of application, establishes that the Claimant has not been engaged in substantial gainful work since her last employment in August/September 2010.

At Step 2, disability is denied. The medical evidence of record, on date of application, does not establish the claimant's significant inability to perform **basic work activities** due to a **severe** physical impairment for the required one year **continuous duration**, as defined below.

Severe/Non-Severe Impairment

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic work activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The question is this case is whether the medical evidence of record establishes a severe or non-severe physical impairment as defined above.

Said in another way, does the diagnosed disorders and disabling complaints impair the claimant slightly, mildly, moderately (non-severe impairment as defined above) or severely as defined above?

Most of the medical reports of record are examinations, diagnostic or treatments reports, and do not provide medical assessments of claimant's work limitations/restrictions relative to inability to perform basic work activities, as defined above. 20 CFR 416.913(c)(I)(2).

The medical evidence of record establishes a non-severe impairment. Therefore, a severe physical impairment meaning the one year **continuous duration** has not been established.

Therefore, disability has not been established at Step 2 by the competent, material and substantial material on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that disability was not medically established.

201218938/WAS

Accordingly, Medicaid denial is UPHELD.

/s/

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 5, 3012

Date Mailed: March 6, 2012

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/tb

