STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	TH	MΛ.	TT		\mathbf{a}	
ПV		VI /~		ᄗ		Г.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-18908 2018 June 14, 2012 Macomb (50-36)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens						
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an inperson hearing was held on June 14, 2012, from Sterling Heights, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her mother, and Participants on behalf of the						
Department of Human Services (Department) included ISSUE						
Did the Department properly 🔲 deny Claima for:	nt's application 🗌 cl	ose Claimant's case				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:						
 Claimant						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☒ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).				

2.	On June 9, 2010, the Department				
3.	On October 6, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.				
4.	On November 30, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.				
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.				
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.				
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.				
□ ad	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.				
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through the 400.3180.				

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.					
The Claimant and/or her representative allege an application was filed for MA benefits on February 23, 2010, with a request for retro back to November 2009. The Department acknowledged the receipt of this application on the hearing summary completed by the Department on December 13, 2011. The Department indicated an application was re-registered along with the request for retro MA. The Department further indicated the Department would be scheduling a medical appointment previously requested by the Medical Review Team. The Department agreed to process this application once the medical appointment was completed.					
At hearing, the Department was unable to produce evidence of the application being re- registered and processed. Further, the Department was unable to produce evidence regarding the original application processing in 2010 that demonstrated communications were sent to the Claimant's representative.					
The Department is required by policy to send all communications and requests for verifications to Claimant's listed representative. It appears, from the evidence submitted, that the Department failed to send all communications to Claimant's representative when processing Claimant's application dated February 23, 2010.					
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department					
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case					
for:					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate re-registering of Claimant's application dated February 23, 2010 including the request for retro MA back to November 2009;
- 2. Process the application;
- 3. Issue a written determination.

Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 18, 2012

Date Mailed: June 18, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-18908/JWO

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWO/pf

