STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

N THE MATTER OF:	Reg. No: 201218531 Issue No: 2013
	Case No: Hearing Date: April 10, 2012 Oakland County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christopher	r S. Saunders
HEARING DE	CISION
This matter is before the undersigned Administrand MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on April 10, 2012 behalf of Claimant included . Particip Services (Department) included	est for a hearing. After due notice, a
ISSUE	Ī
ue to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's application close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:	
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS O	F FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as materia	
1. Claimant applied for benefits for:	□ received benefits for: □
☐ Family Independence Program (FI☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)☐ Medical Assistance (MA)	P) Adult Medical Assistance (AMP) State Disability Assistance (SD) Child Development & Care (CDC)
	nent denied Claimant's application ced Claimant's benefits due to excess

3. On November 21, 2011, the Department sent ⊠ Claimant □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the □ denial. ⊠ closure. □ reduction.
 November 29, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, at the hearing, the department representative stated that it appeared that the department did not use the correct group size in determining the claimant's income eligibility. The evidence shows that the department used a group size of two when it appears that the department should have used a group size of three. Accordingly, it appears that the department did not properly determine the claimant's income eligibility. Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department \square properly \boxtimes improperly \square denied Claimant's application \square reduced Claimant's benefits \boxtimes closed Claimant's case for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
1. Re-determine the claimant's eligibility as of the date of negative action.
/s/ Christopher S. Saunders Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: April 11, 2012
2 4 6 5 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7

Date Mailed: April 11, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CSS/tb

