## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	1	П	Ц		٨	Λ	١п	ГΕ	R	•		E	•
	ľ			п	_	IIV	117	١		п	•	_	•	

		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-17629 2001 February 8, 2012` St. Joseph		
ΑĽ	OMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fal	nie			
	HEARING DECIS	SION			
an tel Pa	is matter is before the undersigned Administration of MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request ephone hearing was held on Wednesday, Februarticipants on behalf of Claimant included the epartment of Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. uary 8, 2012, fron claim <u>ant. Partic</u>	After due notice, a n Lansing, Michigan.		
	<u>ISSUE</u>				
With respect to the Adult Medical Assistance (AMP) Program, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application? Solution Claimant's case?					
	FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>			
	e Administrative Law Judge, based on the didence on the whole record, finds as material fac		rial, and substantial		
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was a recipient of A	MP benefits.			
2.	Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Ve	erification Checkli	st (DHS-3503).		
3.	Claimant was required to submit requested veri	fication by Octob	er 3, 2011.		
4.	The Department  denied Claimant's applicat failure to provide required verification at redeter		aimant's case due to		
5.	On October 20, 2011, the Department sent r Claimant.	notice of the 🗌	denial 🛛 closure to		

6. On November 4, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
Additionally, the claimant admitted on the record that he received the redetermination packet, but he misunderstood what was required and failed to submit the required verification to redetermine AMP eligibility. Unfortunately, the claimant has never submitted the required verification and the AMP program is closed. The claimant has applied for disability benefits for Medical Assistance. He is eligible to apply for health benefits of Plan B with the St. Joseph County Public Health Department.					
Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department					
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application.</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application.</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case.</li> </ul>					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did not act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's AMP decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:					
/ <u>s/</u> Carmen G. Fahie  Administrative Law Judge  For Maura Corrigan, Director  Department of Human Services					
Date Signed: <u>2/14/12</u>					
Date Mailed: <u>2/14/12</u>					

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CGF/ds

