STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEDARTMENT OF HIMAN SERVICES

DEFARTMENT OF HOME	IN SERVICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201217206 2014 February 27, 2012 Macomb County DHS (12)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. Brad	lley	
HEARING DECIS	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on February 27, 2012 on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, Department of Human Services (Department) included.	for a hearing. 2, from Detroit, Mi . Part	After due notice, a
ISSUE		
Due to excess income, did the Department prope ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's be		laimant's application
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material factors.	•	rial, and substantial
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ re	ceived benefits fo	r:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐	•	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

2.	On November 1, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to an increase in budgetable income.
3.	On October 7, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On October 18, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting
	the \Box denial of the application. \Box closure of the case. \boxtimes reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ogulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Department policy states that a person that it aged or disabled, who meets the income and asset limits is eligible for Group 1 MA. The applicable income limit for a group size of one is \$908.

In this case, the Claimant is a group size of one and receives \$1,174.50 per month in household income. Prior to the reducton in benefits, the Claimant received deductions in her budgetable income because her son was under the age of 18; however, the evidence presented shows that the child turned 18 on October 9, 2011. The Department therefore determined that the Claimant was not entitled to allocation of income, which resulted in her budgetable income being in excess of the applicable income. This income determination affected both the Group 1 MA benefits, as well as the Medicare Savings Program.

The Department stated that the Claimant was eligible for Group 2 Caretaker Relatives MA based on the care of her grandchildren. The Department presented insufficient evidence that it considered an allocation for Non-SSI Related Children as set forth in BEM 540. Had income been allocated for the Non-SSI Related grandchildren, the Claimant could be under the income limit for the Group 1 MA, and still qualify for QMB Medicare Savings Program. Under these facts, the Department failed to establish that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it reduced the Claimant's MA benefits.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess ncome, the Department $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. The Department shall reprocess the Claimant's MA benefits in accordance with Department policy.

2. The Department shall notify the Claimant of her eligibility determination in writing.

Andrea J. Bradley
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 21, 2012

Date Mailed: March 21, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AJB/hw

201217206/AJB

