# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-17179

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 11, 2012 County: Wayne (82-49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 11, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

# ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ calculate Claimant's benefits for:				
Family Independence Program (FIP)?  Food Assistance Program (FAP)?  Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?			

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant	applied for benefits for:	received benefits for:
	⊠ Food As	ndependence Program (FIP). ssistance Program (FAP). Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

☐ clo	ctober 18, 2011, the Department
⊠ Cla notice	ctober 18, 2011, the Department sent aimant
protes ☐ de	November 14, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, sting the enial of the application.   closure of the case.   allotment of \$200 per benefits, excluding her grandson.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	ent policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Responsi 42 USC 6 Agency) a through F	Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program October 1, 1996.
program] implement Regulation Agency)	Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is nted by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ons (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule I through Rule 400.3015.
Security / The Depart	Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). artment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the ram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for disable as the Fa	State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance led persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known amily Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, pursuant to BAM 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," if the customer cooperates with the Department, the Department has the responsibility to determine eligibility, calculate benefits and protect the customer's right to those benefits. In this case, although Claimant provided her grandson's Social Security number and verification, the Department excluded Claimant's grandson from the family group and did not provide full FAP benefits to the group.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\ \square$ properly $\ \square$ improperly
<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>☒ allocated Claimant's FAP benefits when it excluded Claimant's grandson from the family group</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
<ol> <li>Initiate procedures to include Claimant's grandson in the family group for FAP benefits effective as of Claimant's application date.</li> <li>Provide retroactive supplements and ongoing FAP benefits to Claimant at the benefit level to which she is entitled.</li> </ol>

3. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 12, 2012

Date Mailed: January 12, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

cc:

JL/pf