STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	TIL	A A	TTC	\mathbf{n}	~ E.
IN	ΙН	MΑ	TTE	ĸ	JF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-17117 2006; 3008 February 9, 2012 Macomb (20)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burk	re					
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim — ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 9, 2012, from Detroi t, Michigan. Participant son behalf of Claimant include — d Claimant's Authorized H earing Represent ative, — Claimant was not present at the hearing. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included						
<u>ISSUE</u>						
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:						
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	•	assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony						
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ⊠ was receiving: ☐F	TIP ⊠FAP ⊠MA	□SDA □CDC.				
2. Cla imant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Redetermination form.						
3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by October 4, 2011.						

4.	On November 1, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.			
5.	On October 20, 2011, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. Closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.			
6.	On November 28, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial. \boxtimes closure. \square reduction.			
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.			
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 10.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.			
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 00.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.			
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE at XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of			

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Feder al Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 130. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. *Id.* The Department can use documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. *Id.* The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide the information should be extended at least once. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then polic y directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130.

In the present case, Claimant was not at the hearing to clarify if and why she did not receive a redetermination form and Notice of Missed Interview, but did receive a Notice of Case Action. Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative speculated that the mail may have been picked up by members of another household who share the same housing structure. However, without Claimant's testimony, it is not clear that Claimant cooperated with the Department as required by policy.

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly							
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.							
DECISION AND ORDER							
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.							
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is reasons stated on the record.	☐ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the						

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 2/15/12

Date Mailed: 2/15/12

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Request

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

