## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:	Reg. No: 201216963 Issue No: 3014, 1025, 2006
	Case No: Hearing Date: January 18, 2012 Genesee County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scul	lly
HEARING D	ECISION
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requirelephone hearing was held on Wednesday, Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department)	lest for a hearing. After due notice, a January 18, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan.  Participants on behalf of
<u>ISSU</u>	<u> E</u>
Did the Department properly  deny Claima for:	ant's application 🛛 close Claimant's case
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS (	OF FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•
<ol> <li>Claimant ☐ applied for ☐ Family Independence Progression (AMP). ☐ Food Assistance Assistance (SDA). ☐ Medical and Care (CDC).</li> </ol>	· · · —
	artment  denied Claimant's application ue to a group member's alleged non-nild Support.

3.	On October 26, 2011, the Department sent $\boxtimes$ Claimant $\square$ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the $\square$ denial. $\boxtimes$ closure.	
4.	On November 23, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\square$ denial of the application. $\boxtimes$ closure of the case.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
	policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the bility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	
Responsibilit 42 USC 601 Agency) adm through Rule	ly Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal y and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, , <i>et seq.</i> The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 e 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.	
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence inisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 400.3015.	
Security Act The Departm	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL	
	ult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.	
for disabled Services (for	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human merly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA suant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule	
Additionally,	the Department failed to establish that a member of the Claimant's benefits	

group had failed to cooperate with the Office of Child Support. The Claimant testified that this group member is his daughter, and that he assisted his daughter with providing

the Office of Child Support all of the information they had requested.

stated on the properly of properly of	the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons be record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department denied Claimant's application $\square$ improperly denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case $\boxtimes$ improperly closed Claimant's case for: $\square$ AMP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC.
of Law, an	DECISION AND ORDER  trative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions d for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department operly.   in did not act properly.
	the Department's ☐ AMP ☒ FIP ☒ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC decision MED ☒ <b>REVERSED</b> for the reasons stated on the record.
	PARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF DF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
It is further C	RDERED that the Department shall:
1.	Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for the Family Independence Program (FIP), the Food Assistance Program (FAP), and the Medical Assistance (MA) program based on the information contained in his Redetermination form received by the Department on October 3, 2011.
2.	Allow the Claimant to clarify whether he cooperated with the Office of Child Support.
3.	Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
4.	Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.
	<u>/s/</u>
	Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge
	For Maura Corrigan, Director
Date Signed	Department of Human Services: January 24, 2012
Date Mailed:	January 24, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons: misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/tb

CC:

