

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No.: 201216947  
Issue No.: 3013  
Case No.:   
Hearing Date: January 18, 2012  
County: Oakland DHS (02)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christian Gardocki

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 18, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the above named Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Manager.

**ISSUE**

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly  deny Claimant's application  close Claimant's case  reduce Claimant's benefits for:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Family Independence Program (FIP)?        | <input type="checkbox"/> State Disability Assistance (SDA)? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Food Assistance Program (FAP)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Development and Care (CDC)?  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Assistance (MA)?                  |   |

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant  applied for  was receiving: FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.
2. Claimant  was  was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503) (Exhibit 1).
3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by 11/14/11.

4. On 11/18/11, the Department
  - denied Claimant's application
  - closed Claimant's case
  - reduced Claimant's benefitsfor failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
  
5. On 11/18/11, the Department sent notice of the
  - denial of Claimant's application.
  - closure of Claimant's case.
  - reduction of Claimant's benefits.
  
6. On 11/28/11, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
  - denial.  closure.  reduction.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Expedited FAP benefit processing has a shorter standard of promptness and fewer verification requirements to determine FAP eligibility than are normally required. BAM 117 at 1. FAP groups eligible for expedited FAP benefits that apply after the 15th of the month receive a minimum benefit period of two months (month of application and following month). *Id* at 4. FAP groups eligible for expedited service that fail to provide verifications will not be issued benefits for subsequent months until the FAP group provides the waived verification or completes a redetermination. *Id*. DHS is directed to allow the benefit period to expire if verifications are not submitted by the tenth day following the request (or extended date, if applicable) then DHS should allow the benefits to expire. *Id*.

Claimant requested a hearing to dispute a FAP benefit termination due to a failure to verify assets; specifically, Claimant failed to verify bank account information. It was not disputed that DHS processed expedited FAP benefits for Claimant stemming from an application dated 10/21/11. The expedited FAP eligibility allowed DHS to issue FAP benefits for 10/2011 and 11/2011 prior to receiving necessary verifications from Claimant.

It was not disputed that Claimant had a checking account, received a Verification Checklist requesting verification of the checking account balance and that Claimant

failed to timely respond to the Verification Checklist. DHS subsequently stopped future FAP benefits as a result of Claimant's failure to verify the checking account balance. The DHS stoppage of FAP benefits was in compliance with DHS regulations.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly       improperly


- closed Claimant's case.
- denied Claimant's application.
- reduced Claimant's benefits.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

did act properly.       did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  **AFFIRMED**  **REVERSED** for the reasons stated on the record.

  
Christian Gardocki  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 20, 2012

Date Mailed: January 20, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,

201216947/CG

- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

cc:

