STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES



Reg No.: 2012-16857

Issue No.: 2009

Case No.:

Hearing Date: April 25, 2012 Macomb County DHS (12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge upon the Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Mi chigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, which gov ern the administrative hearing a nd appeal process. After due notice, a hearing was held in Clinton Township, Mic higan on Wednesday, Ap ril 25, 2012. The Claimant appeared, along with Claimant of Human Services ("Department") was

During the hearing, the Depar tment presented verification of the Social Security Administration's fully favorable determination with a disability onset date of June 2011.

ISSUE

Whether the Department proper ly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant submitted an application for public assistance seeking MA-P benefits, retroactive to May 2011, on August 12, 2011.
- 2. As part of processing, the D epartment requested addit ional medical documentation/verifications.
- 3. The Department denied the Claimant's application on November 3, 2011.

- 4. The Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.
- 5. Subsequently, the SSA found the Claimant disabled with a disability onset date of June 2011.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridge's Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

A previous ly denied MA appl ication is treated as a pending applic ation when MRT determined the Claim ant was not disabled and subs equently, the SSA det ermines that the Claimant is entitled to benef its based on his disability/bli ndness for some, or all, of the time covered by the denied MA application. BEM 260. All eligibility factors must be met for each month MA is authorized. BEM 260.

In this case, the SSA approved the Claim ant for social security benefits with the disability onset date of June 2011. Based on the favo rable SSA determination and the Department's retroactive policy, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability pursuant to BEM 260.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law finds that the Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled for purposes of the MA-P benefit program.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's determination that the Claimant was not disabled is REVERSED.
- 2. The Department shall in itiate processing of (if not previously done so) the August 12, 2011 applicati on, to include all applicable retroactive months, to determine if all other non-medical criteria are met and inform the Claimant of the determination in accordance with department policy.

2012-16857/CMM

3. The Department shall supplement fo r lost benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive if otherwise eligible and qualified with respect to the August 12, 2011 application.

Colleen M. Mamuka

Colleen M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 1, 2012

Date Mailed: May 1, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings

consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

Re

2012-16857/CMM

