STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:
201216800

Issue No.:
5020

Case No.:
Image: County and the second second

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Apr il 9, 2012, from Detroit, Mi chigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Cl aimant and Participants on behalf of Department of Hu man Services (Department) included Assistance Payment Worker.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with energy or utility service(s)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 26, 2011 , Claimant applied for SER assistance wit h energy or utility service.
- 2. On October 28, 2011, t he Department sent notic e of the applic ation denial to Claimant.
- 3. On November 7, 2011, the Departm ent received Claimant's hearing request, protesting the SER denial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (S ER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department polic ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, on October 26, 2011, Claimant applied for SER assistanc e with her property taxes and h er outstanding gas and electrical b ills. In an October 28, 201 1, SER Decision Notice, the Department denied all the requests for assistance, finding that Claimant's outstanding property taxes exceeded the Department's limit for SER property tax assist ance and that Claimant's inco me exceeded the income limit for SER assistance for energy services. Claim ant requested a hearing dis puting the Department's action. At the hearing, Claimant clarified that she only wished to pursue a hearing with respect t o the i ssue of the Department's denial of her request for SER assistance with her gas and electrical bills.

For a group to be eligible for energy services, the combined mont hly net income that is received or expected to be received by all SER group members in the 30-day countable income period cannot exceed the standard fo r SER energy/LIHEAP services for the number of group members. ER M 208. For a group size of one, Claimant's group size, the applicable income limit is \$1909. ERM 208. If Claimant's income during the 30-day countable income period exc eeds this lim it, the SER request must be denied. ERM 208.

The 30-day countable income period begins signed application for SER. ERM 206. application on October 26, 2011, the Department was required to consider Claimant's net countable income for the period from October 26 through November 25. In SER cases, countable income incolludes the net Retirement, Survivors, and Disability y Insurance benefits (RSDI) and gross unemplo group members. ERM 206.

In this case, during the period f rom Oct ober 26 to November 25, Claimant's income consisted of two biweekly unemployment benefits of \$248 and net RSDI income of \$1518. Therefore, Claimant's income fo r this period was \$2014. Although the Department improperly consider ed Claimant's gross RSDI rather than net RSDI as required under policy, this error was harm less because Claimant's income of \$2014 remained over the inc ome limit of \$1909. Thus, the Department acted in accordanc e with Department polic y when it denied Claimant's app lication for SER ass istance with her outstanding electrical and gas bills.

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conc lusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied improperly denied Claimant's SER application for assistance with energy and utility services.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated above and on the record.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 13, 2012

Date Mailed: April 13, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2012-16800/ACE

ACE/cl

