## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg No : 2012-16732

✓ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?✓ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

IN	TH	MΛ.	TT		$\mathbf{a}$	
ПV		VI /~		ᄗ		Г.

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2001 April 18, 2012 Wayne (82-15)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer		
HEARING DECIS	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrati and MCL 400.37 and Claimant's request for a he hearing was held on April 18, 2012, from Detroit Claimant included Claimant. Participants on be Services (Department) included	earing. After due , Michigan. Parti	notice, a telephone icipants on behalf of
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's for:	application 🗵 cl	ose Claimant's case

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive	d benefits for:
	Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).	<ul> <li>☑ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li> <li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li> <li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li> </ul>

	n October 1, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application Simple closed Claimant's case to failure to submit her Redetermination information.
$\boxtimes$	n September 19, 2011, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  Stice of the denial. Closure.
4. Or	n November 3, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	rtment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the es Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Respo 42 US Agend throug	ne Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ive October 1, 1996.
progra impler Regul Agend	ne Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 001 through Rule 400.3015.
Secur The D	ne Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.
	he Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is nistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for dis Service progra	ne State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance sabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ces (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA am pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, at the hearing, Claimant gave credible and unrebutted testimony that she never received a Redetermination form from the Department. The Department witness could not testify from personal knowledge that the Redetermination form was, in fact, sent to Claimant. It is found and determined that Claimant's testimony is acceptable and because she did not receive the Redetermination application form, her case must be reinstated and Claimant must receive additional time in which to submit the Redetermination. This decision is based on BAM 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," which requires the Department to protect the client's rights when the client has fully cooperated with the Department.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for: 🛮 AMP 🗌 FIP 🗌 FAP 🗌 MA 🔲 SDA 🔲 CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  did act properly.  did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\boxtimes$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER.

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's AMP benefits case;
- 2. Initiate procedures to send Claimant a ;Redetermination form and provide Claimant with sufficient time to submit the completed form to the Department;
- 3. If the information provided by Claimant qualifies her for AMP benefits, initiate procedures to provide Claimant with retroactive and ongoing AMP benefits at the benefit level to which she is entitled.

4. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 19, 2012

Date Mailed: April 19, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

