## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MA	ATTER OF:	•	j. No: ie No:	201216659 3008, 2006	
		Hea	se No: aring Date: nroe County	January 18, 2 DHS	2012
ADMINIST	RATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scull	у			
	HEARING DE	<u>ECISION</u>			
and MCL telephone h Participants	r is before the undersigned Adminis 400.37 following Claimant's reque nearing was held on Wednesday, J s on behalf of Claimant included t of Human Services (Department)	est for a he anuary 18, 2	earing. Afte 012, from La	er due notice	, a an.
	ISSUI	E			
	failure to comply with the verification ⊠ clossistication ⊠ clossis				
	Independence Program (FIP)? ssistance Program (FAP)? I Assistance (MA)?			stance (SDA)? and Care (CDC	
	FINDINGS O	F FACT			
	nistrative Law Judge, based upon n the whole record, including testim	•	•	•	
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was ☐CDC.	receiving:	∏FIP ⊠FA	AP ⊠MA □S	DA
2.	Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provi	ded with a R	edeterminat	ion (DHS-1010	0).
3.	Claimant was required to November 1, 2011.	submit r	equested	verification	by
4.	On November 19, 2011, the Depal ⊠ closed Claimant's case ☐ r submit verification in a timely man	educed Clai			

Clair	November 19, 2011, the Department sent notice of the $\Box$ dermant's application. $\boxtimes$ closure of Claimant's case. $\Box$ reductionant's benefits.				
	November 30, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting enial. $\square$ closure. $\square$ reduction.	ng the			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
	cies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bri (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	dges			
Responsibility ar 42 USC 601, <i>et</i> Agency) adminis	ndependence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Pend Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104 seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400 0.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) programmer 1, 1996.	4-193, dence ).3101			
program] is est implemented by Regulations (CF	ssistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp ablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, at the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Formerly. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent of FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3015.	and is ederal dence			
Security Act and The Department	Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administed suant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	CFR).			
for disabled pers as the Family In	sability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly leadependence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	known			
and XX of the S 1990, and the Pe The program is i and 99. The De	evelopment and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grersonal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Pasepartment provides services to adults and children pursuant to 399 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.	ant of 1996. arts 98			
stated on the re $ ilde{ extstyle oxedsymbol{oxed}}$ properly $oxedsymbol{\Box}$ in	above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reecord, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department of Claimant's case.   denied Claimant's application of the concludes and the Department's benefits.	rtment			

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Concl of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Depa $\boxtimes$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.	
Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for reasons stated on the record.	or the
/ <u>s/</u> Kevin Administrative Law	Scully
For Maura Corrigan, D  Department of Human Se	irector

Date Signed: January 24, 2012

Date Mailed: January 24, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 201216659/KS

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/tb

CC:

