STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

١	IN	ı,	т	Н	F	N	ΙΔ	T	ΓΕ	R	0	F	•
ı	ı,					IV				\mathbf{r}	v		_

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201215911 2001; 2006 April 25, 2012 Wayne (49)			
	County.	wayne (49)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Ell	kin				
HEARING DECISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a relephone hearing was held on Apr il 25, 2012, from Detroit, Mi chigan. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payment Worker.					
<u>ISSUI</u>	<u> </u>				
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		ogram (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon evidence on the whole record, including testim	•	•			
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ⊠ was receiving: │ 2. ☐CDC.	□FIP □FAP □MA	⊠AMP □SDA			
3. Cla imant ⊠ was □ was not provided with	a Redetermination fo	rm (DHS-1010).			

4.	Claimant was required to submit the completed redete rmination by September 6, 2011.			
5.	On October 1, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit completed redetermination form in a timely manner.			
6.	On September 19, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.			
7.	On November 4, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.			
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.			
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.			
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .				
	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known			

as the F amily Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, the Department closed Claimant's AMP c ase effective October 1, 2011, based on Claimant's failure to return a completed redetermination.
At the hearing, Claimant confirmed that he had not s ubmitted a completed AM F redetermination to the Department. Howe ver, he testified that he believ ed he had received only the first page of the redetermination on form. He testified that, as a result, he was not aware that there were remaining pages he had to c omplete and submit to the Department. The Department credibly te stified that redetermination forms are printed in and sent from the Department's central print office in Lansing and that the entire packet is sent to a c lient. The fact that the redetermination form is a single four-page document (RFF 1010) supports the Department's testimony that a client would likely receive all four pages if he received the first.
Furthermore, the redetermination form indicate s on the first page that the recipient is required to "complete, sign and date this fo rm, and return it" to the Department. It advises the client that "[i]f you do NOT return this form and all of the required proofs by the due date, your benefits may be cancelled or reduced." The first page also indicates at the bottom center that it was the first of four pages. Thus, even if Claimant had only received the first page of the redetermination form, the information on the first page put Claimant on notice that there were additional pages that needed to be completed and sent back to the Department.
Under the facts in this case, the Depar tment acted in accordance with Departmen policy whe n it close d Cla imant's AMP case for failure to return the completed redetermination.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department \square properly \square improperly
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon to f Law, and for the reasons stated on the rec	cord, finds that the Department
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is reasons stated on the record.	☐ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the

Alice C. Elkin
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 27, 2012

Date Mailed: April 27, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/cl

2012-15911/ACE

