## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J TH		BA A	\ <b>T</b>	CCI	0	^		
III		16	IVI	۱ı		К (	u	-	Ī

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-15542 2018 February 8, 2012 Oakland (03)					
	_						
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane							
HEARING DECISION							
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 8, 2012, from Detroi t, Michigan. Participant s on behalf of Claimant included claimant. Participant s on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included							
ISSUE							
Did the Departm ent properly ⊠ deny Claiman t's application ☐ close Claimant's case for:							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?  State Disability Assistance (SDA)?  Child Development and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:							
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:							
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☒ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).					

2.	On February 15, 2011, the Department  denied Claimant's application  due to the claimant not meeting non-financial eligibility factors.					
3.	On February 15, 2011, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.					
4.	On February 24, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.					
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.					
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.					
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105.					
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .					
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through the 400.3180.					

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opport The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.	d Developm ent Block Grant of cunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. If Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and children pursuant to MCL				
Additionally, the Department found that the claimant did not qualify for MA and the Adult Medical Program (AMP) was closed for new registration at the time.					
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department					
	rly denied Claimant's application rly closed Claimant's case				
for:					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
Date Signed: <u>February 28, 2012</u>	Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services				
Date digited. I editially 20, 2012					

Date Mailed: February 28, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/cl

cc: