## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-15513 2021 February 6, 2012 Wayne (55)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. E	Bennane	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's requestelephone hearing was held on F ebruary 6, 20 on behalf of Claim ant included  Authorized Representative (AR). Participan to Services (Department) included	st for a hearing. Afte 012, from Detroi t, Mi the cl aim	er due notice, a chigan. Participant s nant's da ughter and
ISSUE		
Due to excless assets, dild the Department pro ☐ close Claimant's case for:	perly 🛚 deny the C	laimant's app licatior
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☑ Medical Assistance (MA)?		Assistance (AMP)? / Assistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS O	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on televidence on the whole record, including the telegat:	•	-
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received	d benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).		Assistance (AMP).  Assistance (SDA).
<ol> <li>Due to excess assets, on October 12, 2011</li> <li>☑ denied Claimant's application. ☐ clo</li> </ol>	, the Department sed Claimant's case	<b>)</b> .

3.	On October 12, 2011, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On October 31, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the Aprogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

Additionally, the evidence presented at the hearing documented that the claimant's bank accounts were held jointly with the claim ant's daughter and AR. Department polic y demands that joint bank accounts be attributed to the claimant unless one of the joint owners claims and verifies a different owner ship. Here, the Department did not address this issue. Therefore, the asset is divided amoung the joint holders of the account.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department

<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
1. Reregister the claimant's September 23, 2011, applic ation and resolve the issue of ownership between the joint holders of the account/s.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 28, 2012

Date Mailed: February 28, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

## 2012-15513/MJB

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/cl

