STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. Issue No. Case No.

April 2, 2012

2012-15461

6000

Hearing Date:

Wayne County DHS (31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Monday, April 2, 2012. The Claimant appeared and test ified.

Claimant appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department").

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly terminated the Claimant's Child & Development Care ("CDC") case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant was a CDC recipient.
- 2. The Claimant worked sporadically with a staffing agency during 2011.
- 3. In September 2011, the Department's ent an employ ment verification to the Claimant.
- 4. The Department did not receive the employment verification by the due date.
- On October 19, 2011, the Depar tment pended the Claimant's CDC for closure.
- 6. On October 31, 2011, the Department received the Claimant's written request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Car e program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by T itle 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) provides a ervices to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("BRM").

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24. 278(2).

In this case, the Department agreed to au thorize CDC coverage for the period of October 9, 2011 through October 23, 2011. The C laimant was amenable to thi resolution. In light of the accord, there is no other issue that needs to be addressed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Department's actions are not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's determination is not upheld.
- The Department shall, as agreed, authorize CDC cov erage for the period of October 9, 2011 through October 23, 2011 in accor dance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall supplement for lo st benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receiv e if otherwise elig ible and qualified in acc ordance with Department policy.

Colleen M. Mamuka

Colleen M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 10, 2012

Date Mailed: April 10, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

